

Alternative plant protein sources for pigs and chickens in the tropics – nutritional value and constraints: a review

Siriwan D. Martens^{a,*}, Tassilo T. Tiemann^a, Jérôme Bindelle^b, Michael Peters^a,
Carlos E. Lascano^c

^aInternational Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT), Tropical Forages, Cali, Colombia/Vientiane, Laos

^bUniversity of Liège, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, Animal Science Unit, Gembloux, Belgium

^cUniversidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia

Abstract

In the tropics, a large number of smallholder farms contribute significantly to food security by raising pigs and poultry for domestic consumption and for sale on local markets. The high cost and, sometimes, the lack of availability of commercial protein supplements is one of the main limitations to efficient animal production by smallholders. Locally-grown forages and grain legumes offer ecological benefits such as nitrogen fixation, soil improvement, and erosion control which contribute to improve cropping efficiency. Besides these agronomical assets, they can be used as animal feeds in mixed farming systems. In this paper we review options to include locally-grown forages and grain legumes as alternative protein sources in the diets of pigs and poultry in order to reduce farmers' dependence on externally-purchased protein concentrates. The potential nutritive value of a wide range of forages and grain legumes is presented and discussed. The influence of dietary fibre and plant secondary metabolites contents and their antinutritive consequences on feed intake, digestive processes and animal performances are considered according to the varying composition in those compounds of the different plant species and cultivars covered in this review. Finally, methods to overcome the antinutritive attributes of the plant secondary metabolites using heat, chemical or biological treatment are reviewed regarding their efficiency and their suitability in low input farming systems.

Keywords: tropical forages, pigs, poultry, protein supplementation

Abbreviations:

- ANF – antinutritional factors,
- CP – crude protein,
- DF – Dietary fibre,
- DM – dry matter,
- NSP – non-starch polysaccharides,
- SCFA – short-chain fatty acids,
- TIA – trypsin-inhibitory activity

1 Introduction

The demand for animal protein for human nutrition in the developing world is still rising, especially for pork and poultry products (OECD and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2010). This is an opportunity for smallholders with livestock, who make up almost 20% of the world population (McDermott *et al.*, 2010), to increase household income and improve their livelihoods by connecting with the livestock value chain.

Smallholders often lack access to good quality feed with sufficient energy content and the balanced amino acid profile that is needed to ensure satisfactory animal

* Corresponding author

Email: s.martens@cgiar.org

Center for International Tropical Agriculture, Tropical Forages
Recta Cali-Palmira, km 17, Cali, Colombia

Tel. (+57-2) 4450000. Fax (+57-2) 4450073

performance. Soybean meal is widely used as a feed supplement with high energy content and an amino acid profile close to ideal. World soybean production (262 million t in 2010) is dominated by United States (90.6), Brazil (68.5) and Argentina (52.7) (FAOSTAT, 2012). Soybean meal is the residue after the oil is expressed and is dominantly used in highly industrialized production systems of swine and poultry. Globally, however, there is little surplus soybean meal for smallholders. Moreover, the cost of feed concentrates for livestock is increasing (OECD and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2010). Therefore, to meet the nutritive requirements for smallholder swine and poultry, we need to identify alternative low-cost feed resources (Ly, 1990; Lekule & Kyvsgaard, 2003).

The humid and subhumid tropics offer almost year-round growing conditions (Ly, 1990), with seasonal water deficits and excesses, constraints that are usually manageable. Unfortunately, tropical soils are often nitrogen deficient so that production of protein-rich material to supplement the diets of small animals either requires input of nitrogen fertiliser to gramineous crops, or the use of legumes either as the source of the supplement itself or as part of a rotation. Here we focus on the use of legume crops themselves as supplements.

There are about 650 genera of legumes with some 18,000 species, with a large diversity in the tropics and subtropics (NAS, 1979). Many of them have been collected for evaluation as tropical forages with gene banks at the Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT, over 20,000 accessions, CIAT, 2011), the International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI, 20,000 African forage accessions, Karaimu, 2011), together with the Australian Tropical Crops and Forages Collection and the collection of CENARGEN-EMBRAPA.

We summarize results of research aimed at characterising alternative resources of primary feed for swine and poultry and identify the options of improving smallholder production of monogastric animals in the tropics in terms of their protein needs and forage supply.

2 Digestion and nutrient utilization in pigs and chickens

Pigs and chickens are both single-stomached (monogastric) species as opposed to ruminants, which have several fermentation compartments before the true stomach. Although monogastric mammals and birds show clear differences in their digestive systems, they share similar general feed digestion patterns compared with ruminants. Ingested feed is digested by acid and

enzymes in the stomach and soluble components are absorbed in the small intestine. Indigestible components, such as non-starch polysaccharides, resistant starch, protein that underwent Maillard reactions, and some tannin- and fibre-bound proteins reach the cecum and the large intestine (pigs), and the ceca (poultry), where, together with endogenous secretions, they are fermented by the inhabiting microbiota. The fermentation produces short-chain fatty acids (SCFA), which are an important source of energy for the host. For example, in growing pigs and in sows, SCFA can provide 15 % (Dierick, 1989) and 30 % (Varel & Yen, 1997), respectively, of the animals' maintenance energy requirements. In chickens, SCFA can provide up to 8 % of their energy requirements, while ostriches can obtain 75 % of their energy requirements from fermentation in the ceca (Jozefiak *et al.*, 2004). Concentrate-only feeds change the digestion processes in the ceca of domesticated chickens so that its function and physiology are not well understood (Thomas, 1987; Jozefiak *et al.*, 2004). In contrast, there is no indication that modern pig genotypes have less ability to digest fiber (Urriola & Stein, 2012; Ly *et al.*, 2011; von Heimendahl *et al.*, 2010; Ninh *et al.*, 2009).

In contrast to ruminants, little microbially-synthesised amino acids can be absorbed from the large intestine in either pigs or poultry (Bowen, 1996). Therefore, feed protein must be digestible by the enzymes of the stomach to be absorbed in the small intestine. The amino acid profile of the feed protein should correspond to the specific amino acid requirements of the animals. According to the concept of the ideal protein (Wang & Fuller, 1989; Fuller *et al.*, 1989), the profile depends on the composition of body tissue, coat, and products (e.g. eggs) and must suffice for maintenance and growth (Fuller and Chamberlain, 1983 in FAO, 1996a).

3 Constraints for production systems in the tropics

In smallholder systems of monogastric production, lack of essential amino acids is common as diets often consist of cereal grains or part of them (rice, rice bran, maize, or sorghum) or cassava. These only provide 30 % or less of the pigs' requirements of lysine and methionine, which are the most limiting amino acids in pig feeds (Lekule & Kyvsgaard, 2003). Although many smallholders include other feed sources such as local roots or leaves, fruit (papaya) or agricultural by-products such as banana stems, these do little to improve the nutritional status of their pigs.

Farmers often do not understand or know their animals' feed requirements, nor do they know the nutritional value of these alternative feeds. Moreover, the nutritional quality of these alternatives may be low due to fibre-bound nitrogen (Shayo & Uden, 1999) and compounds such as trypsin inhibitors and tannins, which inhibit enzymes or bind to protein and reduce its digestibility. Because smallholders often have such limited choices, they welcome the solution of growing feeds locally specifically for their pigs and poultry, particularly if it also increases their productivity.

Low protein diets can be supplemented with synthetically-produced critical amino acids such as lysine (FAO, 1996a), which increases growth rates and reduces N-excretion (Kirchgessner *et al.*, 1994 in Jeroch *et al.*, 1999). This option is being used in commercial production systems in developing countries, but is rarely available to or affordable for most smallholders. There, it is important to avoid feeding too much protein as the excess is degraded in the animal to urea or uric acid for excretion. This has negative environmental impacts (Aarnink & Verstegen, 2007) and moreover is energy-consuming for the animal (GfE [Ausschuss für Bedarfsnormen der Gesellschaft für Ernährungsphysiologie], 2006).

Within the context of smallholder farms, one has to recognise that fast growth rates, which demand an optimum supply of dietary protein, is not necessarily the most profitable outcome when using locally-grown feeds. Finally, we have to understand the existing smallholder farming and marketing systems well before we attempt to introduce a new feed crop. Cost and profitability are key issues. The understanding also has implications for identifying suitable plant species and processing technologies that will fit within the current agricultural system and its calendar.

4 Tropical forages as protein source

Ideally, feeds should be derived from a crop that is part of an environmentally-sustainable farming system, which optimizes biomass productivity per unit of solar energy, minimizes inputs of agro-chemicals, and maintains (preferably enhances) soil fertility and biodiversity (FAO, 1996b).

Although all these requirements are rarely met at the same time, tropical forages as feed for monogastrics contribute to improved sustainability of animal production within farming systems (Schultze-Kraft & Peters, 1997 and modified according to Savon, 2005):

- High biomass production in environments where other crops cannot compete;
- No or limited competition with human food requirements;

- High levels of protein with a desirable amino acid (AA) profile, especially lysine, methionine and other sulphur-AA, which for monogastrics adequately balances the limitations of cereal proteins (leaf and grain);
- High levels of vitamins and minerals compared to traditional energy-based feed ingredients; and
- Additional benefits from the integration of forages in the farming system such as human food, wood, fibre, gum, tannery, soil improvement, and soil conservation.

4.1 Nutritional value and effect on animal performance

The crude protein content in tropical forage plants varies widely (Table 1), with values up to 360 g/kg of DM, which is similar to soybean grain. Comparing the amino acid profiles to the ideal protein for pigs and for layers (Table 2), the proportion of the sulphur-containing methionine and cystine in the analysed plants is low for chickens. The proportion threonine seems to be quite well balanced and the tryptophane level is within the desired range in half of the species analysed. In general terms, tryptophane is rather high in the green part of the plants and lower in the seeds. Although the amino acid pattern is not optimal for any one plant species, pig and poultry diets are usually mixtures of several ingredients, which when combined should complement each other to meet the nutritional requirements.

The response of monogastric farm animals to supplements made from forage species cannot be generalised as it depends on the ratio of the different components in the overall diet. Thus, to formulate optimal diets for monogastric farm animals, it is important to identify the optimum inclusion level for the available forage species as well as the best administration form in mixed rations. In addition to protein, forage legumes also contain higher amounts of vitamins and minerals compared to most cereals, forage grasses, and some agro-industrial by-products (Lopez & Tapia, 2003; Lajide *et al.*, 2008; Aarti *et al.*, 2005; Mosha *et al.*, 1995; Imungi & Potter, 1983; Garcia *et al.*, 1996; Leterme *et al.*, 2006), which may eliminate the need for premixed industrial supplements.

Forages can have further positive effects when included in diets of monogastrics. Hens' fertility increased when their diet included 14% grass meal (Davtyan & Manukyan, 1987), while grass and lucerne meal included in the diet of layers decreased cholesterol in their eggs (Rybina & Reshetova, 1981). We do not know whether these effects apply generally nor what the physiological mechanism is.

Table 1: Crude protein (CP) content of selected legumes [g kg^{-1} DM]

Plant species	CP content				Digestibility	Average Herbage Yield [t/ha] *
	Grain	Leaf	Whole plant	Hay		
<i>Arachis glabrata</i>			150–220 [1]	110 [2]	65 % DM and 66 % CP apparent digestibility (horses)[2]	10 [38]
<i>Arachis pintoi</i>		205 [5]	162–279 [3]	143 [4]	50 % ileal CP digestibility (rabbits) [6] 84 % apparent DM digestibility (horses) [7]	10 [38]
<i>Cajanus cajan</i>	190- 219 [8,9], 212- 225 [10], 223 [11]		189-214 [12]	167 [12]	50 % apparent CP digestibility of seed meal (pigs)[13] 81 % CP digestibility of seed (broilers)[14]	2-12 [39]
<i>Centrosema molle</i> (<i>C. pubescens</i>)			236-253 [12]		73 % CP digestibility of seed meal (broilers)[15]	3-10 [39]
<i>Crotalaria</i> <i>ochroleuca</i>		247-384 [16]	99-388 [17]	185-305 [17]		
<i>Desmanthus virgatus</i>		178 [12]	115 [18]	276 [19] Leaf meal	27 % <i>in-vitro</i> enzymatic DM digestibility and 22 % <i>in-vitro</i> enzymatic CP digestibility of leaf meal (pigs) [20]	7 [38]
<i>Lablab purpureus</i>	≤ 120 [21] 252 [25] 230-280 [26]	186 [22] 143-385 [26]	181 [12] 145 [22] 100-250 [23]	167 [12]	51-74 % apparent CP digestibility of seeds (pigs) [24]	4-10 [39]
<i>Mucuna</i> (<i>pruriens, utilis</i>)	274-286 [25]	–	153-165 [12]	148 [12]	77 % CP digestibility of seed meal (broilers) [25]	5-12 [38]
<i>Psophocarpus</i> <i>tetragonolobus</i>		192-349 [12]			54 % CP digestibility of seed meal (broilers) [26]	
<i>Stylosanthes</i> <i>guianensis</i>		195 [27]	88 (stem) [27] 150-160 [29] 138-166 [30]	167 [12]	31 % <i>in-vitro</i> enzymatic DM digestibility [28]	5-10 [38]
<i>Vigna mungo</i>	200 [31] 261-268 [12]		194 [12]			max. 15.6 [40]
<i>Vigna radiata</i>	233-244 [12]	130 [12]				3-7 [39]
<i>Vigna umbellata</i>	233 [12] 182 [32] 174-200 [33]		169 [12]			
<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	275 [34] 250 [35] 225-249 [12]		102 [29]		57 % apparent DM and 53 % apparent CP digestibility of herbage meal (pigs) [36] 53-59 % true DM digestibility of grains (chickens) [37]	3-8 [39]

[1] Beltranena et al., 1980; [2] Eckert et al., 2010; [3] Villarreal et al., 2005; [4] Ladeira et al., 2002; [5] Nieves et al., 2004; [6] Nieves et al., 2009; [7] Morgado et al., 2009; [8] Amarteifio et al., 2002; [9] Oloyo, 2004; [10] Eneobong & Carnovale, 1992; [11] Apata & Ologhobo, 1994; [12] FAO, 2007; [13] Mekbungwan et al., 2004; [14] Leon et al., 1993; [15] Iyayi et al., 2011; [16] Mkiwa et al., 1990; [17] Sarwatt et al., 1990; [18] Sukkasame & Phaikaew, 1998; [19] Ly & Samkol, 2001; [20] Ly et al., 2001; [21] Lambourne & Wood, 1985; [22] Diaz et al., 2005; [23] Murphy & Colucci, 1999; [24] Laswai et al., 1998; [25] Iyayi et al., 2011; [26] Leon et al., 1993; [27] Phimmamasan et al., 2004; [28] Heinritz et al., 2012b; [29] Guodao & Chakraborty, 2005; [30] Gruben, 2001; [31] Rani & Hira, 1998; [32] Saharan et al., 2002; [33] Sadana et al., 2006; [34] Luis et al., 1993; [35] Ravindran & Blair, 1992; [36] Sarria et al., 2010; [37] Sarmiento-Franco et al., 2011; [38] Cook et al., 2005; [39] Peters et al., 2011; [40] INRA, CIRAD, AFZ and FAO, 2012

Table 2: Essential amino acid composition relative to lysine content (absolute in g kg⁻¹ CP) in forage and legume grains compared to the ideal feed protein, which are given relative to lysine (100).

Species		Lysine	Methionine	Met + Cys	Threonine	Tryptophane	References
Ideal feed protein	Pigs	100		50	60	18	[1]
Ideal feed protein	Layers	100	44	84–93	74	16	[9; 10; 11; 12; 13]
<i>Cajanus cajan</i>	Grains	5.9–7.0	17–21	34–40	54–59	5–19	[2; 3]
<i>Canavalia ensiformis</i>	Grains	1.3–6.6	23–27	38–41	67–77	15–23	[4; 5; 6; 7]
<i>Glycine max</i>	Grains	6.2	24	50	65	21	[8]
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	Grains	2.9	10	31	66	17	[2; 4]
<i>Vigna mungo</i>	Grains	7.3	15	23	47	n.d.	[4]
<i>Vigna radiata</i>	Grains	8.2	23	32	24	22	[4]
<i>Vigna umbellata</i>	Grains	8.7	14	17	53	9	[4]
<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	Grains	6.5–6.7	14–18	26–33	57–80	3–19	[4]
<i>Arachis hypogaea</i>	Leaves	5.6	29	46	77	n.d.	[14]
<i>Centrosema molle</i>	Whole plant	3.5	46	74	120	31	[14]
<i>Crotalaria ochroleuca</i>	Leaves	4.7	32				[15]
<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>	Vine	5.4	26	43	78	22	[16]
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	Leaves	5.5–6.7	16–21	29–70	67–69	15–18	[14; 16]
<i>Manihot esculenta</i>	Leaves	5.9	25	46	75	34	[14; 16]
<i>Medicago sativa</i>	Leaf meal	4.6	28	54	83	35	[16]
<i>Stylosanthes guianensis</i>	Hay	3.5	49	83	117	40	[14]
<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	Straw	3.0	60	90	153	47	[14] ^a

[1] Cole, 1978; [2] Ravindran & Blair, 1992; [3] Eneobong & Carnovale, 1992; [4] FAO, 2007; [5] Vadivel & Janardhanan, 2005; [6] Belmar *et al.*, 1999; [7] Apata & Ologhobo, 1994; [8] INRA, 2004; [9] Kirchgessner *et al.*, 1995; [10] Jais *et al.*, 1995; [11] NRC National Research Council (U.S.) Subcommittee on Poultry Nutrition, 1984; [12] NRC National Research Council (U.S.) Subcommittee on Poultry Nutrition, 1994; [13] Jeroch, 1992; [14] FAO, 2007; [15] Sarwatt *et al.*, 1990; [16] Ravindran & Blair, 1992

^a Further reading on *V. unguiculata* and other legume herbage's amino acids in Heinritz *et al.* (2012b).

We emphasise that the nutritional value of a feed depends not only on the essential nutrients it contains but also on their digestibility and hence their actual availability. Digestibility can be strongly affected by the content of dietary fiber and by plant-secondary compounds with toxic or antinutritive activities.

4.2 The effect of fibrous diets on the digestive physiology

Dietary fibre (DF) is defined as non-starch polysaccharides (NSP), including pectins, cellulose, hemicellulose, β -glucans, fructans, oligosaccharides, lignin, and resistant starch (Bindelle *et al.*, 2008; Chesson, 1995). Fibrous tropical feeds comprise leaves of crops, trees, legumes, and grasses. The chemical composition, the nature, and type of dietary fibre influence the voluntary intake of monogastric animals. Pigs can consume a maximum total amount of only 3.0 kg DM per day of feed (DLG, 2005) or 100 g DM/kg metabolic live weight, but growing pigs need about 16–35 MJ metabolizable energy (ME) per day, i.e. about 13 MJ ME/kg feed. DF lowers the energy value of the diet since its apparent digestibility is only 0.40–0.50, varying widely depending on the fibre source (Close, 1993), while di-

gestibilities of protein, fat, sugars, or starch are above 0.80 (Noblet & Le Goff, 2001).

Intake increases with increasing fibre content to maintain the same amount of digestible energy in the diet (Savon, 2005), but the compensation is limited by gut capacity (Close, 1993). Pigs less than 50 kg liveweight cannot compensate for an energy concentration less than 14 MJ/kg, while pigs over 70 kg liveweight can compensate by increased feed intake if the energy concentration falls to 10 MJ/kg (Black *et al.*, 1986). Young animals, particularly, require diets that are highly digestible. Additionally, increased intake of DF raises the animal's basal temperature from the heat produced in the large intestine by fermentation, which can reduce voluntary feed intake (Ogle, 2006).

DF stimulates peristalsis in the monogastric intestinal tract, which can be used in swine management. For example, feed intake of sows must be restricted during the first 12 weeks of gestation (Reese, 1997; Hackl, 2002; Kirchgessner, 1997; Savon, 2005), which leads to behavioural problems. Adding at least 4% DF to the diet, which can easily be done by adding forages, promotes peristalsis and appeases the animal's hunger (Jamikorn *et al.*, 2007). Voluntary intake by monogastrics of leafy

Table 3: The effect of tropical plant species as supplements in feed for monogastric animals.

Plant species	Common name(s)	Plant part	Form	Animal	Effect *	Inclusion Level [†]	Country	Ref.
<i>Arachis glabrata</i>	Perennial peanut, florigraze	Leaf	Meal	Broilers	+/-	≤ 20	Cameroon	[1]
<i>Arachis pintoi</i>	Forage peanut	Forage	Dried	Pigs	+	20 % of diet CP	Colombia	[2]
<i>Cajanus cajan</i>	Pigeon pea	Grain	Raw	Chickens	+	30 g/animal	Philippines	[3]
		Grain	Meal	Pigs	+	≤ 30	Australia	[4]
<i>Centrosema molle/pubescens</i>	Centro	Forage	Pellet	Rabbits	+	≤ 75	Indonesia	[5]
<i>Crotalaria ochroleuca</i>	Marejea,	Grain		Rats	-	30	Tanzania	[6]
	Rattlebox	Leaf	Meal	Rats	+/-	≤ 10	Tanzania	[6]
<i>Desmanthus virgatus</i>	Wild tantan	Leaf	Meal	Pigs	-	18	Cambodia	[7]
<i>Lablab purpureus</i>	Lablab	Leaf	Meal	Layers	-	> 10	Nigeria	[8]
		Grain		Rats	-	≤ 12 % of diet CP	Australia	[9]
<i>Mucuna (pruriens, utilis)</i>	Mucuna, Velvet bean	Grain	Roasted/ cracked/ soaked	Pigs	+	100 g/d	Benin	[10]
		Grain	Raw/toasting/ cooking/ soaking	Pigs	-	15 % of diet CP	Honduras	[11]
		Grain	Raw/boiled/ toasted	Broilers	-	≥ 10 %	Nigeria	[12]
<i>Stylosanthes guianensis</i>		Forage	Fresh	Pigs	+		Laos	[13]
		Leaves		Pigs	+	≤ 6.4	Laos	[14]
<i>Vigna/Phaseolus mungo, aureous</i>	Mungbean	Grain	Raw	Pigs	+/-	15	India	[15]
<i>Vigna radiata</i>	mongo, gram	Grain coat		Broilers	+	15	Philippines	[16]
<i>Vigna umbellata</i>	Rice bean	Grain	Roasted, meal	Chickens	+	≤ 40	India	[17]
<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	Cowpea, caupí	Grain	Meal	Broilers	+/-		Philippines	[18]
		Leaf	Meal	Shrimps	+/-	9 % of diet CP	Philippines	[19] [‡]
<i>Manihot esculenta</i>	Cassava, yuca	Leaf	Fresh	Pigs	+	≤ 50	Cambodia	[20]
<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>	Sweet potato	Leaf	Dried	Broilers	-	Replacing 300 or 200 g/kg maize	Cameroon	[21]
			Meal	Rats	+	10 % of diet CP	USA	[22]
<i>Amaranthus hypochondriacus</i>	Amaranthus, Prince-of-Wales feather	Leaf	Meal	Rats	-	10 % of diet CP	USA	[22]
<i>Chenopodium quinoa</i>	Quinoa	Leaf	Meal	Rats	-	10 % of diet CP	USA	[22]
		Leaf	Meal	Pigs	+	≤ 5	Cuba	[23]
<i>Morus alba</i>	Mulberry	Leaf		Pigs	+	55 % of diet CP	Cambodia	[24]
<i>Trichantera gigantea</i>	Nacedero	Leaf	Meal	Pigs	-	25 % of diet CP	Colombia	[25]
<i>Ipomoea aquatica</i>	Water spinach		Fresh	Pigs	+	15	Vietnam	[26]

n.n. unknown; * effects on animal performance compared to control, [†] in % of diet dry matter if not indicated differently;

(+) positive, (-) negative, (- -) extremely negative/toxic, (- +) both negative and positive effects, (+/-) neutral compared to control

[1] Teguija et al., 1997; [2] Posada et al., 2006; [3] Yamazaki et al., 1988; [4] Whiteman & Norton, 1981; [5] Prawirodigdo et al., 1989; [6] Mkiwa et al., 1994;

[7] Ly et al., 2001; [8] Odunsi, 2003; [9] Lambourne & Wood, 1985; [10] Eteka, 1999; [11] Flores et al., 2002; [12] Emenalom & Udedibie, 1998;

[13] Phengsavanh & Stür, 2006; [14] Keoboulapheth & Mikled, 2003; [15] Ravi et al., 1999; [16] Ancheta & Arellano, 1988; [17] Gupta et al., 1992;

[18] Luis et al., 1993; [19] Eusebio & Coloso, 1998; [20] Ty et al., 2011; [21] Teguija et al., 1997; [22] Cheeke & Carlsson, 1978; [23] Diaz et al., 1995;

[24] Ty et al., 2007; [25] Sarria et al., 1991; [26] Nguyen & Preston, 2011

[‡] For pigs refer to Sarria et al. (2010).

forage legumes is generally higher than of grasses due to their lower fibre content and higher digestibility. Their retention times in the stomach are therefore shorter so that they are good options to feed for monogastrics. Additionally, pigs and poultry digest hemicellulose better than cellulose, so that forages should be used at an early stage of maturity, before they start to lignify (Kephart et al., 1990).

High levels of DF increases the number and activity of cellulolytic bacteria in the gastrointestinal tract of poultry (Jozefiak et al., 2004) and swine (Bindelle et al., 2010), with the same species found in ruminants (Varel & Yen, 1997). This is an important adaptation to the DF utilization (Rodriguez et al., 2000, 2001). Pigs of > 25 kg live weight need at least 3–5 weeks (Longland et al., 1993) or more (Kephart et al., 1990) adaptation

time to maximize the digestion of high-fiber feeds. This needs to be considered when introducing and evaluating forages in the diet.

Non-starch polysaccharides (NSPs) such as polymers of galacturonic acid, galactomannans, xylose, and cellulose have digestibilities in pigs as low as 27–43 % (Gdala & Buraczewska, 1997). They are therefore only secondary in the nutrition of the animal, although xylose and galacturonic acid were much better digested when given at a low rate (4 g/d) to pigs (Yule & Fuller, 1992). Nevertheless, the types and proportions of soluble and insoluble NSPs strongly influence intestinal ecophysiology and health, including the balance between detrimental and health-promoting bacteria species. High levels of fermentable NSPs, such as inulin or beta-glucans, induce a decrease in undesirable enterobacteria in the

hindgut by (1) lowering the pH through increased production of short-chain fatty acids; (2) increasing health-promoting bacteria, which impede the access to the receptors on the intestinal epithelium to pathogens; and (3) reducing bacterial proteolysis of undigested protein. (Molist *et al.*, 2009). This is important in freshly-weaned piglets, which are prone to transient inflammation of the gastrointestinal tract (Pie *et al.*, 2007).

Alpha-galactosides or oligosaccharides in legume seeds cannot be directly hydrolysed and absorbed by non-ruminants, which lack α -galactosidase. While microorganisms in the large intestine degrade these sugars, leading to flatulence and gastric discomfort (Trugo *et al.*, 1990), oligosaccharides also have prebiotic effects in chicken (Lan, 2004; Xiang *et al.*, 2008). In broilers, a moderate level of α -galactosides had no effect on animal performance (Veldman *et al.*, 1998). In consequence, a restricted level of legume seeds contributes well to nutrition and health of monogastrics.

4.3 Chemical constraints and antinutritional factors

Plants produce a variety of simple to highly complex compounds, many of which have been identified and characterized. Many of them seem to be defense against biotic and abiotic stresses and more than 1200 classes serve to protect against herbivory. They are not involved in the plant primary biochemical pathways for cell growth and reproduction (Makkar, 2007). The most common major groups are polyphenols, cyanogenic glycosides, alkaloids, saponins, steroids, toxic proteins and amino acids, non-protein amino acids, phytohemagglutinins, triterpenes, and oxalic acid (Kumar, 1992; Liener, 1980), and are either toxic or act as antinutritive factors (ANF). ANFs are defined as, “Substances generated in natural feed ingredients by the normal metabolism of [plant] species and [interacting] by different mechanisms, e.g. inactivation of some nutrients, interference with the digestive process or metabolic utilization of feed which exert effects contrary to optimum nutrition. Being an ANF is not an intrinsic characteristic of a compound but depends upon the digestive process of the ingesting animal” (Aganga & Tshwenyane, 2003). Thus, plants that are relatively harmless to humans and other mammals may be, and often are, highly toxic to birds, fish, insects and others (Dobson, 1959; Kumar & D’Mello, 1995). The utility of leaves, pods, and edible twigs of shrubs and trees as animal feed is limited by the presence of ANFs. ANFs are generally not lethal but may cause toxicity during periods of scarcity or confinement when the animals consume large quantities of ANF-rich feed.

In this section, we briefly review a selection of the most common ANFs and the plant species in which they occur.

4.3.1 Lectins

Lectins are sugar-binding glycoproteins, which are classified as toxic (*Phaseolus vulgaris*, *Canavalia ensiformis*), growth inhibitory (*Glycine max*, *Amaranthus cruentus*, *Phaseolus lunatus*, *Dolichos biflorus*) (Grant, 1989), or essentially non-toxic or beneficial (seeds of *Vigna subterranea*, *Vigna umbellata* and *Vigna unguiculata*) (Grant *et al.*, 1995). Lectins occur depending on the development stage and on the part of the plant. Toxic lectins generally coagulate the erythrocytes, which can affect the immune system (Jeroch *et al.*, 1993), or disrupt nutrient absorption in the intestines by shedding the brush border membrane of the enterocytes (Makkar, 2007). Lectin activity can be removed by heat, with moist better than dry.

4.3.2 Polyphenolic compounds

Polyphenols are a major group often related to taste, odour, and colour. Flavanoids (monomeric elements of condensed tannins), coumarins, and lignans are the principal agents. Condensed tannins (CT) are complex heat-stable phenolic compounds and common in many plants, especially shrub legumes such as *Gliricidia sepium*, *Acacia species*, *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Albizia falcata*.

Tannins bind protein through H-bonds and hydrophobic interactions. By doing so, they reduce the digestibility of protein (Jeroch *et al.*, 1993) and carbohydrates including starch and fibers. Another important property is their bitter and astringent taste, which in many cases reduces palatability, so the animal will not eat it. In pigs and poultry, tannins are associated with poorer feed conversion efficiency (FAO, 1996b). In poultry, tannin levels from 0.5 to 2.0% in the diet can depress growth and egg production, while levels from 3 to 7% can cause death. In swine, tannins depress growth rates and protein utilization, damage the mucosal lining of the digestive tract, alter the excretion of certain cations, and increase excretion of proteins and essential amino acids (Cannas, 2008). Pigs fed a tannin-rich extract of the quebracho tree had a lower apparent ileal digestibility of nitrogen, but there was no significant decrease in true ileal nitrogen digestibility (Steendam *et al.*, 1998). Condensed tannins are usually not toxic, but hydrolysable tannins can cause liver and kidney damage, and death (Makkar, 2007). Conversely, tannins are anti-oxidants and can improve resistance to heat stress (Liu *et al.*, 2011).

4.3.3 Toxic amino acids

Non-protein amino acids occur in unconjugated forms in many plants, especially in legumes, with the highest concentration in the seed. For example, *Leucaena leucocephala* contains mimosine, which binds to

Table 4: Anti-nutritional factors in selected forage plants.

Plant species	Plant part	ANF type	Counter measure	Dietary inclusion, pigs	Dietary inclusion, poultry
<i>Amaranthus hypochondriacus</i>	grain	Saponins, TIA	Autoclaving, moist-heating [1], boiling [2]		Up to 400 g/kg [3]
<i>Atriplex hortensis</i>	grain	Saponins	Hot-water extraction [4]	Vitamin supplement [5]	
<i>Cajanus cajan</i>	grain	TIA, phytic acid, condensed tannins	Boiling, roasting [6], soaking+germination [7]		
<i>Chenopodium quinoa</i>	grain	Saponins, phytic acid, tannins, TIA	Washing, polishing [8]	[Forage meal at 5 % of the diet (Díaz et al., 1995)]	Washed grain at 75 % of the diet [8]
<i>Lablab purpureus</i>	grain	Phytic acid	Roasting, soaking [9], boiling ≤ 30 min [10]	9 % inclusion in diet [11]	≤ 50 % inclusion in the diet [10]
<i>Manihot esculenta</i>	leaves, tuber	Cyanogenic glycosides	Ensiling, sun drying [12,13]	Leaves ≤ 50 % of diet [12]	Fermented tuber meal ≤ 75 % of energy supplement [13]
<i>Mucuna (pruriens, utilis)</i>	grain	L-DOPA, Phytate, tannins, TIA	Dry heat [14], autoclaving [15], soaking in NaHCO ₃ + autoclaving [16]	≤ 40 % of the diet with cracking/soaking/ boiling [18]	≤ 40 % of the diet [16]
<i>Psophocarpus tetragonolobus</i>	grain	Lectins, Cyanogenic glycosides, TIA	Roasting, boiling [19], autoclaving [20]		< 75 % of diet protein [20]

[1] Pond et al., 1991; [2] Fadel et al., 1996; [3] Ravindran et al., 1996; [4] Coxworth et al., 1969; [5] Jurubescu et al., 1991; [6] Muangkeow, 1994; [7] Sangronis & Machado, 2007; [8] Improta & Kellems, 2001; [9] Jain et al., 2009; [10] Abeke et al., 2008; [11] Laswai et al., 1998; [12] Ty et al., 2011; [13] Udedibie et al., 2004; [14] Siddhuraju et al., 1996; [15] Vijayakumari et al., 1996a; [16] Vadivel & Pugalenthii, 2008; [17] Vadivel et al., 2011; [18] Emenalom & Udedibie, 1998; [19] Igene et al., 2006; [20] Lumen et al., 1982

minerals and pyridoxalphosphate (Makkar, 1991), decreasing the activity of the enzymes that require them as co-factors, and ultimately inhibiting metabolic pathways. It can disrupt the reproductive process, show teratogenic effects, lead to loss of hair and wool, and even to death (Sastry & Rajendra, 2008; Reis, 1975, 1978; Laswai et al., 1997).

The seeds of *Canavalia* species and other legumes such as *Vicia ervilia* (Sadeghi et al., 2009) and *Medicago sativa* (Nunn et al., 2010) contain canavanine. Canavanine is a potent inhibitor of insect development by competing with the indispensable amino acid arginine. Poultry are much more susceptible to canavanine than mammals due to the antagonism of lysine with arginine in birds. It leads to autoimmune-like diseases affecting the kidneys and skin. Canavaline, found in *Canavalia ensiformis* seeds, is a derivative of canavanine. It is highly toxic and a potent insecticide (Rosenthal, 1983). L-DOPA, which is present in *Mucuna* species, is cytotoxic (Lee et al., 2006) leading to haemolytic anaemia. Lathrogenic amino acids, like BCNA (β -cyanoalanine), ODAP (β -*N*-oxalyl- α , β -diaminopropionic acid), DABA (α , γ -diaminobutyric acid) and BAPN (β -aminopropionitrile) are neurotoxic and occur in *Lathyrus* species and in *Vicia sativa* (D'Mello & Walker, 1991).

Selenoamino acids, found in *Lecythis ollaria*, may cause toxic reaction because they replace corresponding sulphur amino acids during protein synthesis (D'Mello & Walker, 1991). As they contribute to the selenium supply in the animal they can act both as micronutrient or as toxin depending on the dose (Kabata-Pendias, 2000).

4.3.4 Saponins

Saponins are found in *Brachiaria decumbens*, *B. brizantha* (Brum et al., 2009), *Amaranthus hypochondriacus*, *Chenopodium quinoa*, *Atriplex hortensis* (Cheeke & Carlsson, 1978), and *Medicago sativa* (Pedersen et al., 1972). They are heat-stable, form a soapy froth when mixed with water, and alter the cell wall permeability, leading to hemolysis and to photosensitization (Brum et al., 2009). They depress growth in chicks (Jeroch et al., 1993), but there are conflicting results in pigs, with a positive effect on both sows and piglets (Hauptli & Lovatto, 2006), compared with fewer still-born piglets but reduced growth performance (Ilsley & Miller, 2005).

4.3.5 More ANFs

There are heat-labile cyanogens and heat-stable antigenic proteins, amongst others. Cyanogenic glycosides, such as linamarin and lotaustraline, which are common in cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) and also in *Acacia*, *Phaseolus* and *Psophocarpus*, depress performance and cause cyanide intoxication. If, however, "cyanogen content is below 100 mg HCN equivalent kg⁻¹ and the diet is adequately supplemented with proteins, particularly with sulphur-containing amino acids, and iodine", it is safe to feed to livestock (Tewe, 1994).

Alkaloids of legumes such as the bitter-tasting quinolizidine in lupins (Acamovic et al., 2004) reduce the feed intake, may affect the liver, and paralyze respiration (Jeroch et al., 1993).

Isoflavones like the genistein and daidzein of soy have estrogenic effects, which can lead to reduced fertility (Winter *et al.*, 2008), but not always (Wei *et al.*, 2004).

Protease inhibitors like chymotrypsin and trypsin in soybean (Swiech *et al.*, 2004) depress growth, and can cause hypertrophy and hyperplasia of the pancreas. They occur widely in many plants.

Phytate is a major pool of phosphates in plants, with a low availability of the bound phosphorus to non-ruminants. The intestinal apparent digestibility of phytate in pigs varies widely, between 0 and 25% (Rubio *et al.*, 2006). Moreover, phytate significantly reduces the availability of minerals such as zinc, calcium, and magnesium (Rimbach *et al.*, 2008).

Oxalates, which have similar attributes, occur in concentrations as high as in rhubarb and sorrel (*Rhumex acetosa*) in some tropical legume forages such as *Vigna unguiculata*, *Desmodium velutinum*, and *Lablab purpureus* (Martens, unpublished data) and in grasses such as *Setaria* (Rahman *et al.*, 2011). They limit especially the availability of calcium, magnesium, and iron (Weiss, 2009).

4.4 Processing to improve nutritional value

Forage plants can be processed to enhance palatability, intake, and digestibility, to conserve, detoxify the antinutritional factors above, or concentrate nutrients (Akande *et al.*, 2010; Close, 1993). All this should be achieved without decreasing the nutritive value of the feed.

4.4.1 Heat treatments

Heat treatment includes sun- and oven-drying, roasting, autoclaving, and boiling, which usually reduces the content of heat-labile ANFs (see Table 5). Sun-dried cassava leaves (*Manihot esculenta*) had 20 mg/kg hydrogen cyanide in the leaf meal compared with 190 mg/kg in the meal of fresh leaves (Phuc *et al.*, 1995). Laying hens fed sun-dried *Gliricidia sepium* performed better than those fed with the oven-dried legume (Montilla *et al.*, 1974), although it is not clear how the type of drying affects the feeding quality. Drying reduces the volume and increases total dry matter intake, which can more than double in pigs (Leterme *et al.*, 2010) given adequate amounts of water.

Thermal treatment considerably reduced the trypsin-inhibitory activity of seeds of *Glycine max* (Liener, 1994), *Cajanus cajan* (Muangkeow, 1994), *Arachis hypogaea* (Hira & Chopra, 1995), and *Psophocarpus tetragonolobus* (Igene *et al.*, 2006). In the latter, roasting also completely removed haemagglutinin. Roasting or autoclaving seed of *Phaseolus vulgaris* reduced its tannin content by 30–40%, this was surpassed by

dehulling (Borges *et al.*, 1998). Dry heat (Siddhuruju *et al.*, 1996) and autoclaving (Vijayakumari *et al.*, 1996a) significantly reduced the content of L-DOPA in seeds of *Mucuna pruriens*.

Autoclaving gives mixed results. Broilers performed better on autoclaved seed meal of *Psophocarpus tetragonolobus* than on raw meal (Gerpacio & Princesa, 1985), however, it does not remove haemagglutinin, trypsin inhibitors, tannins, or phytins (Igene *et al.*, 2006). Boiling *Canavalia ensiformis* seeds for 60 min gave better broiler performance than either the raw seeds or boiling for 30 min (Aquino *et al.*, 1985), yet it may reduce the mineral content by solubilisation (Igene *et al.*, 2006). Boiling significantly increased apparent and true metabolisable energy of *Cajanus cajan* seeds (Muangkeow, 1994). In contrast, boiling reduced the lysine content of *Vigna radiata* seeds by 43% (Bhatty *et al.*, 2000), but significantly increased starch digestibility (Antu & Sudesh, 2009). Boiling removes part of water-soluble nutrients and minerals of legume seeds in contrast to autoclaving (Apata & Ologhobo, 1994). Compared with roasting, boiling improved the nutrient availability and utilisation of *Lablab purpureus* beans in pigs (Laswai *et al.*, 1998).

4.4.2 Grinding/milling

Milling dried forages reduces the volume substantially and is an affordable way to reduce animal selectivity. Also, animals utilize nutrients better from feeds ground to small particle size (Mosenthin & Sauer, 2011; Kim *et al.*, 2009).

4.4.3 Pelleting

Feeding texture determines voluntary feed intake and influences nutritive value. Weaned pigs tend to prefer pellets to meal (Laitat *et al.*, 2004, 2000). Pelleting increases the digestibility in chicks of protein and starch and apparent metabolisable energy values of *Vicia faba* (Lacassagne *et al.*, 1988).

4.4.4 Hulling/husking

Some ANFs such as tannins are mainly concentrated in the seed coat, so that hulling is a simple method to remove them (Vadivel & Janardhanan, 2005). In *Phaseolus vulgaris* seeds, dehulling reduced the tannin content from 22.0 to 5.3 mg/100g (Borges *et al.*, 1998). This method might be an option for farmers, such as coffee growers, who have other uses for a dehulling mill. Other opportunities for small-scale milling are explained by Jonsson *et al.* (1994).

4.4.5 Soaking

Soaking grains in water for 18 h reduced the phytate content of *Mucuna monosperma* by up to one-third of

the original content (Vijayakumari *et al.*, 1996b). Farmers in Laos soak *Leucaena leucocephala* leaves for at least three hours, which improves their feeding value for pigs (Tiemann, personal observation). Soaking reduces phytic acid content of *Lablab purpureus* seeds (Jain *et al.*, 2009).

4.4.6 Extraction/chemical treatment (cold)/extrusion cooking/expanding

Extraction of *Canavalia ensiformis* beans with KHCO_3 followed by either autoclaving or microwaving reduced canavanine concentration compared with simple soaking (D'Mello & Walker, 1991).

Extrusion cooking inactivated the haemagglutination of *Canavalia ensiformis*, but in feeding chicks other unidentified anti-nutritive factors seemed to be active (Melcion *et al.*, 1994).

Leaf meal of *Leucaena leucocephala* treated with either acetic acid or NaOH raised the rate of nitrogen retention in pigs (Echeverria *et al.*, 2002).

Extraction, extrusion cooking, or chemical treatment are unlikely methods for smallholder farmers, even though urea and sodium hydroxide treatment were adopted for improving roughage quality on-farm in feeding ruminants (Kayouli *et al.*, 1982; Sourabie *et al.*, 1995; Chenost & Kayouli, 1997).

Expanding grains is a hydrothermal process, which like extrusion, can be run at different temperatures. It is important in soybean processing for its cheapness and for conserving lysine (van Zuilichem *et al.*, 1998). Expanding lupin seeds decreased antinutritional factors and significantly improved apparent nutrient digestibility in pigs compared to grinding (Yang *et al.*, 2007).

4.4.7 Fermentation

Under anaerobic conditions microbes ferment carbohydrates into organic acids and/or alcohols. Ensiling is a suitable fermentation method for both grains and whole-crop forage (Table 6).

Lactic acid fermentation reduced trypsin and α -amylase inhibitor activity and tannins in *Sphenostylis stenocarpa* seeds by up to 100% in contrast to cooking (Azeke *et al.*, 2005), and reduced cyanogenic glycosides and alpha-galactosides by 85% compared with only 10–20% by cooking. Fermentation of *Phaseolus vulgaris* grains and grain meal increased *in-vitro* protein digestibility, affected different vitamin fractions, and decreased minerals (Granito *et al.*, 2002), reducing α -galactosides, trypsin inhibitory activity, and tannin content in seed meal. Fermenting *Mucuna* to tempe, a traditional Indonesian food, reduced L-DOPA by 70% and hydrolysed 33% of phytic acid (Higasa *et al.*, 1996; Sudarmadji & Markakis, 1977). Solid state fermentation of

Cicer arietinum gave higher digestibility of lysine and protein, reduced phytic acid content to 10%, and tannin content to 13% of raw chickpea flour (Reyes-Moreno *et al.*, 2004).

Ensiling *Leucaena leucocephala* shoots reduced mimosine content from 7% to 2% (Liu & Wang, 1990). Ensiled cassava leaves lost 77% of their hydrogen cyanide and increased digestibility for growing pigs (Borin *et al.*, 2005), although sun-drying was more effective (Bui Huy *et al.*, 2000). Good fermentation management (Niven *et al.*, 2006; Olstorpe *et al.*, 2010; Marcinakova *et al.*, 2008), which is feasible for smallholders, is required to avoid substantial losses of lysine and tryptophane (Blandino *et al.*, 2003), or even benefit from increased lysine content (Gerez *et al.*, 2006). Further information on ensiling and silo types is available in FAO (2000), Heinritz *et al.* (2012a), Reiber *et al.* (2008), and Reiber *et al.* (2009).

4.4.8 Use of enzymes

There have been various attempts to mix different enzymes into feeds to reduce antinutrients (Table 6). The only successes are phytase (Varley *et al.*, 2011) and NSPases (Ao *et al.*, 2010). Enzymes are currently unlikely to be suitable for smallholders due to cost and their limited availability.

4.4.9 Germination

Germination activates endogenous enzymes, which attack most antinutrients (Campbell & van der Poel, 1998) (Table 6) and enhance the nutritional value of grains (Muzquiz *et al.*, 1998). But germination can be difficult to manage as seedlings tend to attract moulds and are easily spoiled. The germinated seeds have to be fed immediately or dried, which increases their cost.

Germination reduces trypsin inhibitors, phytic acid, galactosides, and certain lectins in *Glycine max* (Bau *et al.*, 1997) and, compared to raw seeds, improves the *in-vitro* starch digestibility in *Cicer arietinum*, *Vigna unguiculata* and *Vigna radiata*, similar to the improvement through fermentation and pressure cooking (Urooj & Puttaraj, 1994).

Germination, preceded by soaking, reduced trypsin inhibitory activity of *Phaseolus vulgaris* and *Cajanus cajan* seeds by 26–53%, phytic acid by 41–53%, and condensed tannins by 14–36%, while the *in-vitro* protein digestibility, vitamin C and thiamine content increased significantly, and the mineral composition was modified (Sangronis & Machado, 2007). Germination of *Lupinus albus* for 96h gave peak phytase activity, while in *Lupinus luteus* it increased until 120h (Muzquiz *et al.*, 1998).

Table 5: Reduction of anti-nutritional factors by physical processing.

Component	Heat	Reference	Soaking	Reference
Phytate/ phytic acid	-/+ (autoclaving)	[1;2]	+ (-21-33 %)	[11;46]
Trypsin inhibition	-/+ (autoclaving; boiling, roasting)	[1;2;3;38;40;41;42]	+ (-22 %)	[3;7]
Toxic amino acids/ proteinaceous ANF				
Mimosine	+	[4]	+	[4;12;13]
Canavanine	+ - (roasting)	[35]	+ (combined; NaHCO ₃ ; KHCO ₃)	[33;34;36]
Canaline				
L-DOPA	+ ((pressure-)cooking -14-43 %; dry heat)	[5;45;43]	+ (hot water/ NaHCO ₃ / Ca(OH) ₂)	[5;14;15]
Saponins	+ (boiling; pressure cooking)	[6;7]	+ (-34 %)	[7]
Tannins	-/+ (autoclaving; roasting -32 %)	[40;1;44]	-	[16]
Alkaloids			++ (60 °C, 60 min)	[55]
Oxalate	+ (boiling)	[8]	+	[17]
Hydrogen cyanide	+ (sundrying, -33-63 %; steaming, boiling)	[9;39;10]	+	[10]
Oligosaccharides	+ (autoclaving)	[2]	+ (-40 %)	[2]

Table 6: Reduction of anti-nutritional factors by biological means.

Component	Fermentation	Reference	Enzymes	Reference	Germination	Reference
Phytate/ phytic acid	+ (-33 %)	[1;2;18;49]	++ (phytase)	[27]	+ (-41-53 %)	[16;52;53;54]
Trypsin inhibition	-/+ (-50 %-99 %)	[3;19,20,18,47]			++	[1;52;53]
Toxic amino acids/ proteinaceous ANF						
Mimosine	+ (-21-90 %)	[32;21;22;23;50]	+ (endogenous)	[28;51]		
Canavanine					-	[37]
Canaline			+	[29]		
L-DOPA	+ (-10-47 %)	[24;49]			-	[5]
Saponins	+				-/+	[30;7]
Tannins	+ (-80 %)	[25;1]			+ (-14-36 %)	[1;53]
Alkaloids	+	[2]				
Oxalate	+	[26]			+	[17]
Hydrogen cyanide	+ (-78-85 %)	[9;47]			+	[16]
Oligosaccharides	+	[2;48]			+	[31;52]

+ reduction; ++ strong reduction; - no effect; +/- effect variable

[1] Ramachandran & Ray, 2008; [2] Khattab & Arntfield, 2009; [3] Fadahunsi, 2009; [4] Murthy *et al.*, 1994; [5] Bressani *et al.*, 2002; [6] Ejoh *et al.*, 2009; [7] Sinha *et al.*, 2005; [8] Lewu *et al.*, 2009; [9] Borin *et al.*, 2005; [10] Fukuba *et al.*, 1982; [11] Noreen *et al.*, 2009; [12] Vogt, 1990; [13] Tawata *et al.*, 1986; [14] Vadivel & Pugalenth, 2008; [15] Gurumoorthi *et al.*, 2008; [16] Yasmin *et al.*, 2008; [17] Hariitha & Maheswari, 2007; [18] Stodolak & Starzynska-Janiszewska, 2008; [19] Li-Jing & Yu, 2008; [20] Lin *et al.*, 1988; [21] Srinivasulu *et al.*, 2000; [22] Rosas *et al.*, 1980; [23] Anghong *et al.*, 2007; [24] Matenga *et al.*, 2002; [25] Valizadeh *et al.*, 2009; [26] Malavanh *et al.*, 2008; [27] Luo *et al.*, 2009; [28] Lyon, 1985; [29] Rosenthal, 1992; [30] Jyothi *et al.*, 2007; [31] Oboh *et al.*, 2000; [32] Khatta *et al.*, 1987; [33] Sadeghi *et al.*, 2009; [34] Gupta *et al.*, 2001; [35] Viroben & Michelangeli-Vargas, 1997; [36] D'Mello & Walker, 1991; [37] Kasai & Sakamura, 1986; [38] Liener, 1994; [39] Phuc *et al.*, 1995; [40] Igene *et al.*, 2006; [41] Hira & Chopra, 1995; [42] Muangkeow, 1994; [43] Siddhuraju *et al.*, 1996; [44] Borges *et al.*, 1998; [45] Vijayakumari *et al.*, 1996a; [46] Vijayakumari *et al.*, 1996b; [47] Azeke *et al.*, 2005; [48] Granito *et al.*, 2002; [49] Ukachukwu *et al.*, 2002; [50] Liu & Wang, 1990; [51] Ghosh & Samiran, 2007; [52] Bau *et al.*, 1997; [53] Sangronis & Machado, 2007; [54] Muzquiz *et al.*, 1998; [55] Rodriguez & Tecson-Mendoza, 1998

5 Conclusions and outlook

There is a large diversity of tropical forage species as protein options for monogastric farm animals in the tropics. There is also a multitude of choices in terms of agricultural suitability and yields, nutrient contents, and nutritional constraints, which may be partly or fully overcome by appropriate processing methods. Individual decisions at farm level considering ecological conditions, labour and technical requirements, and already-available feed ingredients can achieve good economic returns and reduce the risks of failure.

The available diversity, however, often makes the selection of the best option challenging and requires ample knowledge of agronomic, nutritional, and secondary compound characteristics of forage species. Creative approaches are required to fit forage-based feed solutions for monogastric animals into existing smallholder systems and further systematic research is required to define the actual value of some less-common forage species for different animal species. There are surprisingly large knowledge gaps of the digestibility of total plant or crude protein, and even the direct effects of feeding some of the listed plant species to different farm animals are not documented. In the end, these results have to be dovetailed with agronomic, economic, and sociological studies throughout the tropics to tap the full potential of local plants in swine and poultry feeding for eco-efficient and market-oriented animal production.

Acknowledgements

We kindly acknowledge Dr. Pascale Picron's contribution to improve the readability of this paper.

References

- Aarnink, A. J. A. & Verstegen, M. W. A. (2007). Nutrition, key factor to reduce environmental load from pig production. *Livestock Science*, 109, 194–203.
- Aarti, S., Sankhla, A. K., Bhavna, B. & Alpana, S. (2005). Nutrient composition of less familiar leaves consumed by the tribals of Udaipur region. *Journal of Food Science and Technology (Mysore)*, 42, 446–448.
- Abeke, F. O., Ogundipe, S. O., Sekoni, A. A., Dafwang, I. I., Adeyinka, I. A., Oni, O. O. & Abeke, A. (2008). Effect of duration of cooking Lablab purpureus beans on its utilization by broiler finishers (4-8 weeks). *Asian Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 3, 85–91.
- Acamovic, T., Cowieson, A. J. & Gilbert, C. E. (2004). Lupins in poultry nutrition. In E. van Santen, & G. D. Hill (Eds.), *Wild and cultivated lupins from the Tropics to the Poles. Proceedings of the 10th International Lupin Conference, Laugarvatn, Iceland, 19-24 June 2002* (pp. 314–318). International Lupin Association. Canterbury.
- Aganga, A. A. & Tshwenyane, S. O. (2003). Feeding Values and Anti-Nutritive Factors of Forage Tree Legumes. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 2, 170–177.
- Akande, K. E., Doma, U. D., Agu, H. O. & Adamu, H. M. (2010). Major antinutrients found in plant protein sources: their effect on nutrition. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 9, 827–832.
- Amarteifio, J. O., Munthali, D. C., Karikari, S. K. & Morake, T. K. (2002). The composition of pigeon peas (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.) grown in Botswana. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, 57, 173–177.
- Ancheta, H. B. & Arellano, V. R. (1988). Mongo (*Vigna radiata*) seed coat as feed supplements for broilers. *TCA [Tarlac College of Agriculture] Research Journal (Philippines)*, 10, 9–13.
- Angthong, W., Cheva-Isarakul, B., Promma, S. & Cheva-Isarakul, B. (2007). Beta-carotene, mimosine and quality of leucaena silage kept at different duration. *Kasetsart Journal, Natural Sciences*, 41, 282–287.
- Antu, G. & Sudesh, J. (2009). Chemical composition and digestibility (in vitro) of green gram as affected by processing and cooking methods. *Nutrition & Food Science*, 39, 342–349.
- Ao, X., Meng, Q. W., Van, L., Kim, H. J., Hong, S. M., Cho, J. H. & Kim, I. H. (2010). Effects of Non-starch Polysaccharide-degrading Enzymes on Nutrient Digestibility, Growth Performance and Blood Profiles of Growing Pigs Fed a Diet Based on Corn and Soybean Meal. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 23, 1632–1638.
- Apata, D. F. & Ologhobo, A. D. (1994). Biochemical Evaluation of Some Nigerian Legume Seeds. *Food Chemistry*, 49, 333–338.
- Aquino, A. G., Arce, A. M., Arganosa, A. S., Atega, T. A., Baguyo, M. A., Suryadikusamo, D. R. & Perez, J. A. (1985). Feeding value of jackbean (*Canavalia ensiformis*) for broilers [Philippines]. *Philippine Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences*, 11, 31.
- Azeke, M. A., Fretzdorff, B., Buening-Pfaue, H., Holzapfel, W. & Betsche, T. (2005). Nutritional value of African yambean (*Sphenostylis stenocarpa* L): improvement by lactic acid fermentation. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 85, 963–970.
- Bau, H. M., Villaume, C., Nicolas, J. P. & Mejean, L. (1997). Effect of germination on chemical composition, biochemical constituents and antinutritional factors of soya bean (*Glycine max*) seeds. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 73, 1–9.
- Belmar, R., Nava-Montero, R., Sandoval-Castro, C. & McNab, J. M. (1999). Jack bean (*Canavalia ensi-*

- formis* L-DC) in poultry diets: antinutritional factors and detoxification studies - a review. *Worlds Poultry Science Journal*, 55, 37–59.
- Beltranena, R., Breman, J. W. & Prine, G. M. (1980). Florigraze rhizoma peanut (*Arachis glabrata* Benth.) as affected by cutting frequency. *Agronomy Abstracts*. 72nd annual meeting, American Society of Agronomy.
- Bhatty, N., Gilani, A. H. & Nagra, S. A. (2000). Nutritional value of mung bean (*Vigna radiata*) as affected by cooking and supplementation. *Archivos Latinoamericanos de Nutricion*, 50, 374–379.
- Bindelle, J., Buldgen, A. & Leterme, P. (2008). Nutritional and environmental consequences of dietary fibre in pig nutrition: a review. *Biotechnologie, Agronomie, Société et Environnement*, 12 (1), 69–80.
- Bindelle, J., Pieper, R., Leterme, P., Rossnagel, B. & Kessel, A. G. (2010). Changes in intestinal microbial ecophysiology as related to the carbohydrate composition of barleys and oats cultivars in an in vitro model of the pig gastrointestinal tract. *Livestock Science*, 133, 151–153.
- Black, J. L., Campbell, R. G., Williams, I. H., James, K. J. & Davies, G. T. (1986). Simulation of energy and amino acid utilisation in the pig. *Research and Development in Agriculture*, 3, 121–145.
- Blandino, A., Al-Aseeri, M. E., Pandiella, S. S., Cantero, D. & Webb, C. (2003). Cereal-based fermented foods and beverages. *Food Research International*, 36, 527–543.
- Borges, G., Levy-Benshimol, A. & Carmona, A. (1998). Interaction of bean tannins with *Phaseolus vulgaris* proteins. In A. J. M. Jansman, J. Huisman, & A. F. B. van der Poel (Eds.), *Recent advances of research in antinutritional factors in legume seeds and rapeseed. Proceedings of the third international workshop* (pp. 301–305). Wageningen.
- Borin, K., Lindberg, J. E. & Ogle, R. B. (2005). Effect of variety and preservation method of cassava leaves on diet digestibility by indigenous and improved pigs. *Animal Science*, 80, 319–324.
- Bowen, R. (1996). Digestive Function of Horses. URL <http://www.vivo.colostate.edu/hbooks/pathphys/digestion/herbivores/horses.html>.
- Bressani, R., Lau, M. & Vargas, M. S. (2002). Protein and cooking quality and residual content of dehydroxyphenylalanine and of trypsin inhibitors of processed *Mucuna* beans (*Mucuna* spp.). *Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems*, 1, 197–212.
- Brum, K. B., Haraguchi, M., Garutti, M. B., Nobrega, F. N., Rosa, B. & Fioravanti, M. C. S. (2009). Steroidal saponin concentrations in *Brachiaria decumbens* and *B. brizantha* at different developmental stages. *Ciencia Rural*, 39, 279–281.
- Bui Huy, N. P., Ogle, B. & Lindberg, J. E. (2000). Effect of replacing soybean protein with cassava leaf protein in cassava root meal based diets for growing pigs on digestibility and N retention. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 83, 223–235.
- Campbell, G. L. & van der Poel, A. F. B. (1998). Use of enzymes and process technology to inactivate antinutritional factors in legume seeds and rapeseed. In A. J. M. Jansman, J. Huisman, & A. F. B. van der Poel (Eds.), *Recent advances of research in antinutritional factors in legume seeds and rapeseed. Proceedings of the third international workshop* (pp. 377–386). Wageningen.
- Cannas, A. (2008). Tannins: fascinating but sometimes dangerous molecules. Cornell University, NY, USA. URL <http://www.ansci.cornell.edu/plants/toxicagents/tannin.html>.
- Cheeke, P. R. & Carlsson, R. (1978). Evaluation of several crops as sources of leaf meal: composition, effect of drying procedure, and rat growth response. *Nutrition Reports International*, 18, 465–473.
- Chenost, M. & Kayouli, C. (1997). *Roughage utilization in warm climates*. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), Rome.
- Chesson, A. (1995). Dietary Fiber. In A. M. Stephen, & I. O’Dea (Eds.), *Food Polysaccharides and their Application* (pp. 547–576). Marcel Dekker. New York.
- CIAT (2011). Forages collection. Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical. URL <http://isa.ciat.cgiar.org/urg/foragecollection.do>.
- Close, W. H. (1993). Fibrous diets for pigs. In M. Gill, E. Owen, G. E. Pollot, & T. L. G. Lawrence (Eds.), *Animal production in developing countries* (pp. 107–115). British Society of Animal Production Occasional Publication No 16. London.
- Cole, D. J. A. (1978). Amino acid nutrition of the pig. In W. Haresign, & D. Lewis (Eds.), *Recent advances in animal nutrition* (pp. 59–72). Butterworths, London, UK.
- Cook, B. G., Pengelly, B. C., Brown, S. D., Donnelly, J. L., Eagles, D. A., Franco, M. A., Hanson, J., Mullen, B. F., Partridge, I. J., Peters, M. & Schultze-Kraft, R. (2005). Tropical Forages: an interactive selection tool. [CD-ROM], CSIRO, DPI&F(Qld), CIAT and ILRI, Brisbane, Australia. Available at: <http://www.tropicalforages.info>. Last accessed 04.10.2012.
- Coxworth, E. C. M., Bell, J. M. & Ashford, R. (1969). Preliminary evaluation of Russian Thistle, Kochia, and Garden Atriplex as potential high protein content seed crops for semiarid areas. *Canadian Journal of*

- Plant Science*, 49, 427–434.
- Davtyan, A. & Manukyan, V. (1987). Effect of grass meal on fertility of hens. *Ptitsevodstvo*, 6, 28–29.
- Diaz, J., Diaz, M. F. & Cataneda, S. (1995). A note on the use of *Chenopodium quinoa* forage meal in pre-fattening pigs. *Cuban Journal of Agricultural Science*, 29, 223–226.
- Diaz, M. F., Padilla, C., Lon Wo, E., Castro, M., Herrera, R. & Martinez, R. O. (2005). Annual legumes as an alternative for animal feeding in Cuba. In *Proceedings of the XXth International Grassland Congress* (p. 280). Dublin, Ireland.
- Dierick, N. A. (1989). Biotechnology aids to improve feed and feed digestion: Enzymes and fermentation. *Archives of Animal Nutrition*, 39, 241–261.
- DLG (2005). Kleiner Helfer für die Berechnung von Futterrationen. Wiederkäuer und Schweine. DLG, Frankfurt a.M.
- D’Mello, J. P. F. & Walker, A. G. (1991). Detoxification of Jack Beans (*Canavalia ensiformis*) - Studies with young chicks. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 33, 117–127.
- Dobson, M. E. (1959). Oxalate ingestion studies in the sheep. *Australian Veterinary Journal*, 35, 225–233.
- Echeverria, V., Belmar, R., Ly, J. & Santos-Ricalde, R. H. (2002). Effect of *Leucaena leucocephala* leaf meal treated with acetic acid or sodium hydroxide on apparent digestibility and nitrogen retention in pig diets. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 101, 151–159.
- Eckert, J. V., Myer, R. O., Warren, L. K. & Brendemuhl, J. H. (2010). Digestibility and nutrient retention of perennial peanut and bermudagrass hays for mature horses. *Journal of Animal Science*, 88, 2055–2061.
- Ejoh, A. R., Djuikwo, V. N., Gouado, I. & Mbofung, C. M. (2009). Effect of different postharvest treatments on antinutritional factors in some commonly consumed leafy vegetables in Cameroon. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 33, 161–174.
- Emenalom, O. O. & Udedibie, A. B. I. (1998). Effect of dietary raw, cooked and toasted *Mucuna pruriens* seeds (velvet bean) on the performance of finisher broilers. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*, 25, 115–119.
- Eneobong, H. N. & Carnovale, E. (1992). A Comparison of the Proximate, Mineral and Amino-Acid-Composition of Some Known and Lesser Known Legumes in Nigeria. *Food Chemistry*, 43, 169–175.
- Eteka, A. C. (1999). Cover crop seed for human and animal consumption. CIEPCA Newsletter 3.
- Eusebio, P. S. & Coloso, R. M. (1998). Evaluation of leguminous seed meals and leaf meals as plant protein sources in diets for juvenile *Penaeus indicus*. *Israeli Journal of Aquaculture*, 50, 47–54.
- Fadahunsi, I. F. (2009). The effect of soaking, boiling and fermentation with *Rhizopus oligosporus* on the water soluble vitamin content of bambara groundnut. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 8, 835–840.
- Fadel, J. G., Pond, W. G., Harrold, R. L., Calvert, C. C. & Lewis, B. A. (1996). Nutritive value of three amaranth grains fed either processed or raw to growing rats. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, 76, 253–257.
- FAO (1996a). *Feeding pigs in the tropics*. FAO Animal Production and Health Paper. FAO, Rome.
- FAO (1996b). Tropical animal feeding. A manual for research workers. FAO, Rome. URL <http://www.fao.org/AG/AGa/AGAP/FRG/AHPP126/cont126.htm>.
- FAO (2000). *Silage Making in the Tropics with Particular Emphasis on Smallholders. Proceedings of the FAO Electronic Conference on Tropical Silage, 1 September to 15 December 1999*. FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper 161. FAO.
- FAO (2007). *Animal Feed Resources Information System*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- FAOSTAT (2012). Production quantity of soybeans 2010. URL <http://faostat.fao.org/site/567/DesktopDefault.aspx?PageID=567#ancor>.
- Flores, L., Esnaola, M. A. & Myhrman, R. (2002). Growth of Pigs Fed Diets with *Mucuna* Bean Flour (*Mucuna pruriens*) Compared to Soybean Meal. *Mucuna* Workshop held in April 26-29, 2000, in Tegucigalpa, Honduras.
- Fukuba, H., Igarashi, O., Briones, C. M. & Mendoza, E. M. T. (1982). Determination and detoxification of cyanide in cassava and cassava products. *Philippine Journal of Crop Science*, 7, 170–176.
- Fuller, M. F., McWilliam, R., Wang, T. C. & Giles, L. R. (1989). The optimum dietary amino acid pattern for growing pigs. 2. Requirements for maintenance and for tissue protein accretion. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 62, 255–267.
- Garcia, G. W., Ferguson, T. U., Neckles, F. A. & Archibald, K. A. E. (1996). The nutritive value and forage productivity of *Leucaena leucocephala*. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 60, 29–41.
- Gdala, J. & Buraczewska, L. (1997). Ileal digestibility of pea and faba bean carbohydrates in growing pigs. *Journal of Animal and Feed Sciences*, 6, 235–245.
- Gerez, C. L., Rollan, G. C. & Valdez, G. F. (2006). Gluten breakdown by lactobacilli and pediococci strains isolated from sourdough. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 42, 459–464.

- Gerpacio, A. L. & Princesa, A. O. (1985). Effects of heat treatment and fat extraction on the nutritive value of winged bean seed meal for broilers [Philippines]. *Animal-Production-Technology (Philippines)*, 1, 33–34.
- GfE [Ausschuss für Bedarfsnormen der Gesellschaft für Ernährungsphysiologie] (2006). *Empfehlungen zur Energie- und Nährstoffversorgung von Schweinen*. DLG-Verlag, Frankfurt a. M., Germany.
- Ghosh, M. K. & Samiran, B. (2007). Mimosine toxicity - a problem of Leucaena feeding in ruminants. *Asian Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 2, 63–73.
- Granito, M., Frias, J., Doblado, R., Guerra, M., Champ, M. & Vidal-Valverde, C. (2002). Nutritional improvement of beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) by natural fermentation. *European Food Research and Technology*, 214, 226–231.
- Grant, G. (1989). Anti-nutritional effects of dietary lectins. In J. P. F. D’Mello, C. M. Duffus, & J. H. Duffus (Eds.), *Anti-nutritional factors, potentially toxic substances in plants* (pp. 51–74). Warwick, United Kingdom.
- Grant, G., More, L. J., McKenzie, N. H., Dorward, P. M., Buchan, W. C., Telek, L. & Pusztai, A. (1995). Nutritional and haemagglutination properties of several tropical seeds. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 124, 437–445.
- Gruben, I. E. (2001). Management of forage legumes in Ubon paspalum (*Paspalum atratum*). Strip establishment of Ubon paspalum and forage legumes. Diploma Thesis University of Rostock.
- Guodao, L. & Chakraborty, S. (2005). Stylo in China: a tropical forage legume success story. *Tropical Grasslands*, 39, 215.
- Gupta, J. J., Yadav, B. P. S. & Gupta, H. K. (1992). Rice bean (*Vigna umbellata*) as poultry feed. *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition*, 9, 59–62.
- Gupta, J. J., Yadav, B. P. S., Gupta, H. K., Sahoo, S. K. & Agrahar, D. (2001). Nutritive value of detoxified jack bean (*Canavalia ensiformis*) seed for young chicks. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 71, 1169–1171.
- Gurumoorthi, P., Janardhanan, K. & Myhrman, R. V. (2008). Effect of differential processing methods on L-Dopa and protein quality in velvet bean, an underutilized pulse. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 41, 588–596.
- Hackl, W. (2002). Optimierung der Eiweissernährung bei Monogastriden. In W. Methling, & J. Unshelm (Eds.), *Umwelt- und tiergerechte Haltung* (pp. 147–151). Berlin, Wien.
- Haritha, P. & Maheswari, K. U. (2007). Effect of processing on the antinutritional and antimicrobial activity of sicklesenna seeds (*Cassia tora* L.). *Legume Research*, 30, 108–112.
- Hauptli, L. & Lovatto, P. A. (2006). Feeding sows in gestation and lactation with diets containing saponins. *Ciencia Rural*, 36, 610–616.
- von Heimendahl, E., Breves, G. & Abel, H. (2010). Fiber-related digestive processes in three different breeds of pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*, 88, 972–981.
- Heinritz, S., Martens, S. D., Avila, P. & Hoedtke, S. (2012a). The effect of inoculant and sucrose addition on the silage quality of tropical forage legumes with varying ensilability. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 174(3), 201–210.
- Heinritz, S. N., Hoedtke, S., Martens, S. D., Peters, M. & Zeyner, A. (2012b). Evaluation of ten tropical legume forages for their potential as pig feed supplement. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 24(1). Article #7.
- Higasa, S., Negishi, Y., Adoyagi, Y. & Sugahara, T. (1996). Changes in free amino acids of tempe during preparation with velvet beans (*Mucuna pruriens*). *Journal of Japanese Society for Food Science and Technology*, 43, 188–193.
- Hira, C. K. & Chopra, N. (1995). Effects of roasting on protein quality of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) and peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*). *Journal of Food Science and Technology-Mysore*, 32, 501–503.
- Igene, F. U., Oboh, S. O. & Aletor, V. A. (2006). Nutrient and anti-nutrient components of raw and processed winged bean seeds (*Psophocarpus tetragonolobus*). *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 76, 476–479.
- Ilsley, S. E. & Miller, H. M. (2005). Effect of dietary supplementation of sows with quillaja saponins during gestation on colostrum composition and performance of piglets suckled. *Animal Science*, 80, 179–184.
- Improta, F. & Kellems, R. O. (2001). Comparison of raw, washed and polished quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) to wheat, sorghum or maize based diets on growth and survival of broiler chicks. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 13, 1–10.
- Imungi, J. K. & Potter, N. N. (1983). Nutrient contents of raw and cooked cowpea leaves. *Journal of Food Science*, 48, 1252–1254.
- INRA (2004). *Tables of composition and nutritional value of feed materials*. Wageningen Academic Publishers, INRA, Paris.
- INRA, CIRAD, AFZ and FAO (2012). Feedipedia - Animal Feed Resources Information System. URL

- <http://www.trc.zootechnie.fr>.
- Iyayi, E. A., Aderemi, F. A., Omidiwura, B. R. & Ogunbode, S. M. (2011). Crude protein digestibility in *Mucuna pruriens* seed meal, soybean meal and centrosema seedmeal at the terminal ileum in broiler. *Electronic Journal of Environmental, Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 10, 1809–1815.
- Jain, A. K., Sudhir, K. & Panwar, J. D. S. (2009). Antinutritional factors and their detoxification in pulses - a review. *Agricultural Reviews*, 30, 64–70.
- Jais, C., Roth, F. X. & Kirchgessner, M. (1995). The determination of the optimum ratio between the essential amino acids in laying hen diets. *Archiv für Geflügelkunde*, 59, 292–302.
- Jamikorn, U., Thongsong, B. & Chavananikul, V. (2007). A field trial of dietary fiber supplementation: effects on fecal characteristics, reproductive performance and nutrient digestibility in crossbred pigs. *Thai Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, 37, 85.
- Jeroch, H. (1992). Faustzahlen für die Geflügelfütterung. In J. Petersen (Ed.), *Jahrbuch für die Geflügelwirtschaft 1993*. Verlag Eugen Ulmer. Stuttgart.
- Jeroch, H., Drochner, W. & Simon, O. (1999). *Ernährung landwirtschaftlicher Nutztiere*. UTB, Stuttgart.
- Jeroch, H., Flachowsky, G. & Weissbach, F. (1993). *Futtermittelkunde*. Jena, Stuttgart.
- Jonsson, L. O., Dendy, D. A. V., Wellings, K. & Bokalders, V. (1994). *Small-scale milling: a guide for development workers*. Intermediate Technology Publications Ltd (ITP), London.
- Jozefiak, D., Rutkowski, A. & Martin, S. A. (2004). Carbohydrate fermentation in the avian ceca: a review. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 113, 1–15.
- Jurubescu, V., Miu, F., Petrache, G., Udrescu, M., Spiridon, G., Chitu, M., Stavri, I. & Rosioru, V. (1991). New possibilities for increasing vitamin intake from plant sources in the feeding of monogastric animals. *Analele Institutului de Biologie si Nutritie Animala Balotesti*, 15, 273–289.
- Jyothi, T. C., Kanya, T. C. S. & Rao, A. G. A. (2007). Influence of germination on saponins in soybean and recovery of soy sapogenol I. *Journal of Food Biochemistry*, 31, 1–13.
- Kabata-Pendias, A. (2000). *Trace Elements in Soils and Plants*. CRC Press Inc.
- Karaimu, P. (2011). ILRI genebank manager elected 'Fellow' of the prestigious Society of Biology. ILRI. URL <http://www.ilri.org/ilrinenews/index.php/archives/tag/ilri-genebank>.
- Kasai, T. & Sakamura, S. (1986). Reexamination of canavanine disappearance during germination of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*). *Journal of Nutritional Science and Vitaminology (Tokyo)*, 32, 77–82.
- Kayouli, C., Cottyn, B. G., Aerts, J. V., Majdoub, A. & Sansoucy, R. (1982). Improvement of the feeding value and utilization of sodium hydroxide-treated straw in Mediterranean zones. In *Tropical animal production for the benefit of man. Proceedings of the International Colloquium, Antwerp (Belgium), 17-18 Dec 1982* (pp. 435–442). Prince Leopold Institute of Tropical Medicine Antwerp, Belgium.
- Keouboulapheth, C. & Mikled, C. (2003). Growth performance of indigenous pigs fed with *Stylosanthes guianensis* CIAT 184 as replacement for rice bran. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 15 (9).
- Kephart, K. B., Hollis, G. R. & Danielson, D. M. (1990). *Forages for Swine*. Pork Industry Handbook (PIH-126).
- Khatta, V. K., Kumar, N., Gupta, P. C. & Sagar, V. (1987). Effect of ensiling at different intervals on mimosine content of subabul (*Leucaena leucocephala*). *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 57, 340–342.
- Khattab, R. Y. & Arntfield, S. D. (2009). Nutritional quality of legume seeds as affected by some physical treatments: Part 2. Antinutritional factors. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 42, 1113–1118.
- Kim, J., Mullan, B. P., Heo, J., Hansen, C. F. & Pluske, J. R. (2009). Decreasing dietary particle size of lupins increases apparent ileal amino acid digestibility and alters fermentation characteristics in the gastrointestinal tract of pigs. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 102, 350–360.
- Kirchgessner, M. (1997). *Tierernährung*. DLG, Frankfurt am Main.
- Kirchgessner, M., Jais, C. & Roth, F. X. (1995). The ideal ratio between lysine, methionine, threonine, tryptophan, isoleucine and arginine in layer diets. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 73, 190–201.
- Kumar, R. (1992). Antinutritional factors. The potential risks of toxicity and the methods to alleviate them. In A. W. Speedy, & P. L. Pugliese (Eds.), *Legume trees and other fodder trees as protein source for livestock. FAO Animal Production and Health Paper No. 102* (pp. 145–160). FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Kumar, R. & D'Mello, J. P. F. (1995). Anti-nutritional factors in forage legumes. In J. P. F. D'Mello, & C. Devendra (Eds.), *Tropical legumes in animal nutrition* (pp. 95–133). CABI Publishing.
- Lacassagne, L., Francesch, M., Carre, B. & Melcion, J. P. (1988). Utilization of Tannin-Containing and Tannin-Free Faba Beans (*Vicia faba*) by Young Chicks - Effects of Pelleting Feeds on Energy, Pro-

- tein and Starch Digestibility. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 20, 59–68.
- Ladeira, M. M., Rodriguez, N. M., Borges, I., Goncalves, L. C., Saliba, E. D. S., Brito, S. C. & De Sa, L. A. (2002). Evaluation of *Arachis pintoi* hay using in vivo digestibility trial. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia - Brazilian Journal of Animal Science*, 31, 2350–2356.
- Laitat, M., de Jaeger, F., Vandenheede, M. & Nicks, B. (2004). Factors influencing feed ingestion and performance of weaned pigs: perception and characteristics of diets. *Annales de Medecine Veterinaire*, 148, 15–29.
- Laitat, M., Vandenheede, M., Désiron, A., Canart, B. & Nicks, B. (2000). Pellets or meal after weaning: the choice of piglets. 32èmes Journées de la Recherche Porcine en France, Paris, France, 1, 2 et 3 février 2000. *Journées de la Recherche Porcine en France*, 32, 157–162.
- Lajide, L., Oseke, M. O. & Olaoye, O. O. (2008). Vitamin C, fibre, lignin and mineral contents of some edible legume seedlings. *Journal of Food Technology*, 6, 237–241.
- Lambourne, L. J. & Wood, I. M. (1985). Nutritional quality of grain of Australian cultivars of lablab bead (*Lablab purpureus*). *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 25, 169–177.
- Lan, Y. (2004). *Gastrointestinal health benefits of soy water-soluble carbohydrates in young broiler chickens*. Ph.D. thesis Wageningen Institute of Animal Sciences, Wageningen Universiteit. 265 p.
- Laswai, G. H., Lekule, F. P., Kimambo, A. E., Sarawatt, S. V. & Sundstol, F. (1998). The effect of processing method of dolichos bean (*Lablab purpureus* L. Sweet) on the digestibility and performance of growing-finishing pigs. *Tanzania Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 1, 121–130.
- Laswai, G. H., Ocran, J. N., Lekule, F. P. & Sundstol, F. (1997). Effects of dietary inclusion of leucaena leaf meal with and without ferrous sulphate on the digestibility of dietary components and growth of pigs over the weight range 20–60 kg. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 65, 45–57.
- Lee, J., Kim, Y., Park, S. & Lee, M. (2006). Effects of tributyltin chloride on L-DOPA-induced cytotoxicity in PC12 cells. *Archives of Pharmacal Research*, 29, 645–650.
- Lekule, F. P. & Kyvsgaard, N. C. (2003). Improving pig husbandry in tropical resource-poor communities and its potential to reduce risk of porcine cysticercosis. *Acta Tropica*, 87, 111–117.
- Leon, R., Angulo, I., Jaramillo, M., Requena, F. & Calabrese, H. (1993). Chemical characterization and nutritional value of tropical grain legumes used in poultry feeding. *Zootecnia Tropical*, 11, 151–170.
- Leterme, P., Buldgen, A., Estrada, F. & Londono, A. M. (2006). Mineral content of tropical fruits and unconventional foods of the Andes and the rain forest of Colombia. *Food Chemistry*, 95, 644–652.
- Leterme, P., Londono, A. M., Ordonez, D. C., Rosales, A., Estrada, F., Bindelle, J. & Buldgen, A. (2010). Nutritional value and intake of aquatic ferns (*Azolla filiculoides* Lam. and *Salvinia molesta* Mitchell.) in sows. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 155, 55–64.
- Lewu, M. N., Adebola, P. O. & Afolayan, A. J. (2009). Effect of cooking on the mineral and antinutrient contents of the leaves of seven accessions of *Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schott growing in South Africa. *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment*, 7, 359–363.
- Li-Jing, Z. & Yu, Y. (2008). Isolation and identification of microbe strains that degraded the anti-nutrition factors in soybean meal. *Zhejiang Nongye Kexue (Journal of Zhejiang Agricultural Sciences)*, 4, 495–497.
- Liener, I. E. (1980). *Toxic constituents of the plants food-stuffs*. Academic Press, London.
- Liener, I. E. (1994). Implications of Antinutritional Components in Soybean Foods. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 34, 31–67.
- Lin, Y. H., Huang, T. C. & Huang, C. (1988). Quality improvement of sweet-potato (*Ipomoea batatas* L. Lam.) roots as feed by ensilage. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 60, 173–184.
- Liu, G. D. & Wang, D. J. (1990). Detoxication of leucaena juvenile shoot[s]. *Leucaena Research Reports*, 11, 112–113.
- Liu, H. W., Dong, X. F., Tong, J. M. & Zhang, Q. (2011). A comparative study of growth performance and antioxidant status of rabbits when fed with or without chestnut tannins under high ambient temperature. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 164, 89–95.
- Longland, A. C., Low, A. G., Quelch, D. B. & Bray, S. P. (1993). Adaptation to the digestion of non-starch polysaccharide in growing pigs fed on cereal or semi-purified basal diets. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 70, 557–566.
- Lopez, J. L. & Tapia, L. (2003). Foliage of legumes as forage for swine: nutritive value and anti-nutritional factors. *Ensaos e Ciencia: Serie Ciencias Biologicas, Agrarias, e da Saude*, 7, 349–358.
- Luis, E. S., Capitan, S. S. & Pulido, R. A. A. (1993). Nutrient composition and nutritional value of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) bean meal in broilers starter diets. *Philippine Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences*, 19, 103–109.

- Lumen, B. O., Gerpacio, A. L. & Vohra, P. (1982). Effects of winged bean (*Psophocarpus tetragonolobus*) meal on broiler performance. *Poultry Science*, 61, 1099–1106.
- Luo, Y., Xie, W., Xie, C., Li, Y. & Gu, Z. (2009). Impact of soaking and phytase treatments on phytic acid, calcium, iron and zinc in faba bean fractions. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 44 (12), 2590–2597.
- Ly, J. (1990). The physiological and biochemical basis for feeding pigs and poultry in the tropics (part 1). *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 2 (2).
- Ly, J., Grageola, F., Batista, R., Lemus, C., Macías, M., Delgado, E., Santana, I. & Díaz, C. (2011). Effect of genotype and diet on rectal digestibility of nutrients and faecal output in Cuban Creole pigs. *Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems*, 14 (2), 661–666.
- Ly, J. & Samkol, P. (2001). Nutritional evaluation of tropical leaves for pigs; *Desmanthus* (*Desmanthus virgatus*). *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 13 (4).
- Ly, J., Samkol, P. & Preston, T. R. (2001). Nutritional evaluation of tropical leaves for pigs: Pepsin/pancreatin digestibility of thirteen plant species. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 13 (5).
- Lyon, C. K. (1985). Degradation of mimosine during ensiling of *Leucaena*. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 36, 936–940.
- Makkar, H. P. S. (1991). Antinutritional factors in animal feedstuffs - mode of actions. *International Journal of Animal Sciences*, 6, 88–94.
- Makkar, H. P. S. (2007). Plant secondary metabolites as antinutrients in monogastric nutrition. In P. Leterme, A. Buldgen, E. Murgueitio, & C. Cuartas (Eds.), *Fodder banks for sustainable pig production systems* (pp. 67–85). CIPAV. Cali, Colombia.
- Malavanh, C., Preston, T. R. & Ogle, B. (2008). Ensiling leaves of Taro (*Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Shott) with sugar cane molasses. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 20.
- Marcinakova, M., Laukova, A., Simonova, M., Strompfova, V., Korenekova, B. & Nad, P. (2008). A new probiotic and bacteriocin-producing strain of *Enterococcus faecium* EF9296 and its use in grass ensiling. *Czech Journal of Animal Science*, 53, 336–345.
- Matenga, V. R., Ngongoni, N. T., Titterton, M. & Maasdorp, B. V. (2002). Mucuna seed as a feed ingredient for small ruminants and effect of ensiling on its nutritive value. *Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems*, 1, 97–105.
- McDermott, J. J., Staal, S. J., Freeman, H. A., Herrero, M. & Van de Steeg, J. A. (2010). Sustaining intensification of smallholder livestock systems in the tropics. *Livestock Science*, 130, 95–109.
- Mekbungwan, A., Thongwittaya, N. & Yamauchi, K. (2004). Digestibility of soyabean and pigeon pea seed meals and morphological intestinal alterations in pigs. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Science*, 66, 627–633.
- Melcion, J. P., Michelangeli, C. & Picard, M. (1994). Evaluation of the Effect of Extrusion-Cooking of Jackbean (*Canavalia ensiformis* L) Seed on Short-Term Feed-Intake in Chicks. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 46, 197–213.
- Mkiwa, F. E. J., Lwoga, A. B., Mosha, R. D. & Matovelo, J. A. (1994). Antinutritional effects of *Crotalaria ochroleuca* (marejea) in animal feed supplements. *Veterinary and Human Toxicology*, 36, 96–100.
- Mkiwa, F. E. J., Sarwatt, S. V., Lwoga, A. B. & Dzowela, B. H. (1990). Nutritive value of *Crotalaria ochroleuca*: I chemical composition and in vitro dry matter digestibility at different stages of growth. In *Utilization of research results on forage and agricultural by-product materials as animal feed resources in Africa*. . *Proceedings of the first joint workshop held in Lilongwe, Malawi, 5-9 December 1988*. Pastures Network for Eastern and Southern Africa/African Research Network for Agricultural By-products (PANESA/ARNAB), International Livestock Centre for Africa, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Molist, F., Gomez de Segura, A., Gasa, J., Hermes, R. G., Manzanilla, E. G., Anguita, M. & Perez, J. F. (2009). Effects of the insoluble and soluble dietary fibre on the physicochemical properties of digesta and the microbial activity in early weaned piglets. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 149, 346–353.
- Montilla, J. J., Reveron, A., Schmidt, B., Wiedenhofer, H. & Castillo, P. P. (1974). Leaf meal of mouse-tail (*Gliricidia sepium*) in rations for laying hens. *Agronomia Tropical*, 24, 505–511.
- Morgado, E. d. S., de Almeida, F. Q., Silva, V. P., da Costa Gomes, A. V., Galzerano, L., Ventura, H. T. & Rodrigues, L. M. (2009). Digestion of carbohydrates of forages in horses. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia*, 38 (1), 75–81.
- Mosenthin, R. & Sauer, N. (2011). Nutritional impact of feed particle size in diets for pigs. *Proceedings of the Society of Nutrition Physiology*, 20, 150–156.
- Mosha, T. C., Pace, R. D., Adeyeye, S., Mtebe, K. & Laswai, H. (1995). Proximate composition and mineral content of selected Tanzanian vegetables and the effect of traditional processing on the retention of ascorbic acid, riboflavin and thiamine. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, 48, 235–245.

- Muangkeow, N. (1994). *Pigeonpea (Cajanus cajan L.) seed meal in poultry diets*. Ph.D. thesis University of Philippines Los Banos, Laguna, Philippines.
- Murphy, A. M. & Colucci, P. E. (1999). A tropical forage solution to poor quality ruminant diets: A review of *Lablab purpureus*. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 11.
- Murthy, P. S., Reddy, P. V. V. S., Venkatramaiah, A., Reddy, K. V. S. & Ahmed, M. N. (1994). Methods of mimosine reduction in subabul leaf meal and its utilization in broiler diets. *Indian Journal of Poultry Science*, 29, 131–137.
- Muzquiz, M., Pedrosa, M. M., Cuadrado, C., Ayet, G., Burbano, C. & Brenes, A. (1998). Variation of alkaloids, alkaloids esters, phytic acid and phytase activity in germinated seeds of *Lupinus albus* and *L. luteus*. In A. J. M. Jansman, J. Huisman, & A. F. B. van der Poel (Eds.), *Recent advances of research in antinutritional factors in legume seeds and rapeseed. Proceedings of the third international workshop* (pp. 387–390). Wageningen.
- NAS (1979). *Tropical legumes: Resources for the future*. National Academy of Sciences (NAS), Washington D.C., USA.
- Nguyen, T. G. & Preston, T. R. (2011). Taro (*Colocasia esculenta*) silage and water spinach as supplements to rice bran for growing pigs. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 23 (3).
- Nieves, D., Moncada, I., Terán, O., González, C., Silva, L. & Ly, J. (2009). Digestive parameters in fattening rabbits given tropical foliage based diets. *Bioagro*, 21 (1), 33–40.
- Nieves, D., Silva, B., Terán, O., González, C. & Ly, J. (2004). A note on the chemical composition and feeding characteristics of diets containing *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Arachis pintoi* for growing rabbits. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 16 (12).
- Ninh, T. L., Tran, B. N., Ogle, B. & Lindberg, J. E. (2009). Ileal and total tract digestibility in local (Mong Cai) and exotic (Landrace x Yorkshire) piglets fed low and high-fibre diets, with or without enzyme supplementation. *Livestock Science*, 126, 73–79.
- Niven, S. J., Beal, J. D. & Brooks, P. H. (2006). The effect of controlled fermentation on the fate of synthetic lysine in liquid diets for pigs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 129, 304–315.
- Noblet, J. & Le Goff, G. (2001). Effect of dietary fibre on the energy value of feeds for pigs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 90 (1), 35–52.
- Noreen, N., Shah, H., Anjum, F., Masood, T. & Faisal, S. (2009). Variation in mineral composition and phytic acid content in different rice varieties during home traditional cooking processes. *Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences*, 7, 11–15.
- NRC National Research Council (U.S.) Subcommittee on Poultry Nutrition (1984). *Nutrient requirements of poultry*. National Academic Press, Washington D.C.
- NRC National Research Council (U.S.) Subcommittee on Poultry Nutrition (1994). *Nutrient requirements of poultry*. National Academic Press, Washington D.C.
- Nunn, P. B., Bell, E. A., Watson, A. A. & Nash, R. J. (2010). Toxicity of non-protein amino acids to humans and domestic animals. *Natural Product Communications*, 5, 485–504.
- Oboh, H. A., Muzquiz, M., Burbano, C., Cuadrado, C., Pedrosa, M. M., Ayet, G. & Osagie, A. U. (2000). Effect of soaking, cooking and germination on the oligosaccharide content of selected Nigerian legume seeds. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, 55, 97–110.
- Odunsi, A. A. (2003). Assessment of *Lablab purpureus* Leaf Meal as a Feed Ingredient and Yolk Colouring Agent in the Diet of Layers. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 2, 71–74.
- OECD and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2010). Meat. OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook 2010. OECD Publishing. Pp. 147–158.
- Ogle, R. B. (2006). Forages for pigs: nutritional, physiological and practical implications. Workshop-seminar "Forages for Pigs and Rabbits" MEKARN-CelAgrid, 22-24 August, 2006. Phnom Penh, Cambodia. URL <http://www.mekarn.org/proprf/ogle.htm> (retrieved September 30, 2010).
- Oloyo, R. A. (2004). Chemical and nutritional quality changes in germinating seeds of *Cajanus cajan* L. *Food Chemistry*, 85, 497–502.
- Olstorpe, M., Axelsson, L., Schnürer, J. & Passoth, V. (2010). Effect of starter culture inoculation on feed hygiene and microbial population development in fermented pig feed composed of a cereal grain mix with wet wheat distillers' grain. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 108, 129–138.
- Pedersen, M. W., Anderson, J. O., Street, J. C., Wang, L. C. & Baker, R. (1972). Growth response of chicks and rats fed alfalfa with saponin content modified by selection. *Poultry Science*, 51, 458–463.
- Peters, M., Franco, L. H., Schmidt, A. & Hincapie, B. (2011). *Especies forrajeras multipropósito: Opciones para productores del Trópico Americano*. CIAT, Cali, Colombia.
- Phengsavanh, P. & Stür, W. (2006). The use and potential of supplementing village pigs with *Stylosanthes guianensis* in Lao PDR. Workshop-seminar "Forages for Pigs and Rabbits" MEKARN-CelAgrid, Phnom Penh, Cambodia, 22-24 August, 2006. Article # 14. URL <http://www.mekarn.org/proprf/wern.htm>.

- Phimmasan, H., Kongvongxay, S., Ty, C. & Preston, T. R. (2004). Water spinach (*Ipomoea aquatica*) and Stylo 184 (*Stylosanthes guianensis* CIAT 184) as basal diets for growing rabbits. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 16 (5).
- Phuc, B. H. N., van Lai, N., Preston, T. R., Ogle, B. & Lindberg, J. E. (1995). Replacing soya bean meal with cassava leaf meal in cassava root diets for growing pigs. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 7.
- Pie, S., Awati, A., Vida, S., Falluel, I., Williams, B. A. & Oswald, I. P. (2007). Effects of added fermentable carbohydrates in the diet on intestinal proinflammatory cytokine-specific mRNA content in weaning piglets. *Journal of Animal Science*, 85, 673–683.
- Pond, W. G., Lehmann, J. W., Elmore, R., Husby, F., Calvert, C. C., Newman, C. W., Lewis, B., Harrold, R. L. & Froseth, J. (1991). Feeding value of raw or heated grain amaranth germplasm. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 33, 221–236.
- Posada, S. L., Mejia, J. A., Noguera, R., Cuan, M. M. & Murillo, L. M. (2006). Productive evaluation and microeconomic analysis of perennial *Arachis pintoi* for growing and fattening pigs in confinement. *Revista Colombiana de Ciencias Pecuarias*, 19, 259–269.
- Prawirodigdo, S., Abdelsamie, E. R. & Aritonang, D. (1989). Effect of feeding various levels of *Centrosema pubescens* on the performance of fryer rabbits. *Journal of Applied Rabbit Research*, 12, 174–176.
- Rahman, M. M., Nakagawa, T., Niimi, M., Fukuyama, K. & Kawamura, O. (2011). Effects of Feeding Oxalate Containing Grass on Intake and the Concentrations of Some Minerals and Parathyroid Hormone in Blood of Sheep. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 24 (7), 940–945.
- Ramachandran, S. & Ray, A. K. (2008). Effect of different processing techniques on the nutritive value of grass pea, *Lathyrus sativus* L., seed meal in compound diets for Indian major carp rohu, *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton), fingerlings. *Archives of Polish Fisheries*, 16, 189–202.
- Rani, N. & Hira, C. K. (1998). Effect of different treatments on chemical constituents of mash beans (*Vigna mungo*). *Journal of Food Science and Technology-Mysore*, 35, 540–542.
- Ravi, A., Rao, D. S., Reddy, K. K. & Rao, Z. P. (1999). Growth response and carcass characteristics of cross-bred barrows fed rations containing urad (*Phaseolus mungo* [Vigna mungo]) chuni. *Cheiron*, 28 (4), 102–106.
- Ravindran, V. & Blair, R. (1992). Feed Resources for Poultry Production in Asia and the Pacific. II. Plant Protein Sources. *Worlds Poultry Science Journal*, 48, 205–231.
- Ravindran, V., Hood, R. L., Gill, R. J., Kneale, C. R. & Bryden, W. L. (1996). Nutritional evaluation of grain amaranth (*Amaranthus hypochondriacus*) in broiler diets. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 63, 323–331.
- Reese, D. E. (1997). Dietary Fiber in Sow Gestation Diets - A Review. *Nebraska Swine Report*, , 23–25.
- Reiber, C., Schultze-Kraft, R., Peters, M. & Cruz, H. (2008). *Smallholder innovation and adoption of hay and silage technologies in Honduras*. Guangdong People's Publishing House. Guangzhou. Pp. 1113.
- Reiber, C., Schultze-Kraft, R., Peters, M. & Hoffmann, V. (2009). Potential and constraints of little bag silage for smallholders-results and experiences from Honduras. *Experimental Agriculture*, 45, 209–220.
- Reis, P. J. (1975). Effects of intravenous infusion of mimosine on wool growth of Merino sheep. *Australian Journal of Biological Sciences*, 28, 483–493.
- Reis, P. J. (1978). Effectiveness of intravenous and abomasal doses of mimosine for defleecing sheep and effects on subsequent wool growth. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 29, 1043–1055.
- Reyes-Moreno, C., Cuevas-Rodriguez, E. O., Milan-Carrillo, J., Cardenas-Valenzuela, O. G. & Barron-Hoyos, J. (2004). Solid state fermentation process for producing chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L) tempeh flour. Physicochemical and nutritional characteristics of the product. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 84, 271–278.
- Rimbach, G., Pallauf, J., Moehring, J., Kraemer, K. & Minihaue, A. M. (2008). Effect of dietary phytate and microbial phytase on mineral and trace element bioavailability - a literature review. *Current Topics in Nutraceutical Research*, 6, 131–144.
- Rodriguez, F. M. & Tecson-Mendoza, E. M. (1998). Alkaloids of several Philippine indigenous food legumes: determination and removal. *Philippine Journal of Crop Science*, 23, 121–125.
- Rodriguez, S., Lopez, A., Bocourt, R., Savon, L. & Madera, M. (2001). Nivel y fuente de la dieta en la concentración y la actividad celulolítica de la microbiota intestinal del cerdo. *Cuban Journal of Agricultural Science - Revista Cubana de Ciencia Agrícola*, 35 (3), 269–276.
- Rodriguez, S., López, A. & Riveri, Z. (2000). Efecto del nivel de fibra en el número y actividad de la microflora celulolítica en el ciego de cerdos. *Cuban Journal of Agricultural Science - Revista Cubana de Ciencia Agrícola*, 34 (1), 47–56.
- Rosas, H., Quintero, S. O. & Gomez, J. (1980). Mimosine disappearance in arboreous Leucaena silage.

- Leucaena Newsletter*, 1, 17.
- Rosenthal, G. A. (1983). L-Canavanine and L-Canaline: Protective Allelochemicals of Certain Leguminous Plants. In P. A. Hedin (Ed.), *Plant resistance to insects. Proceedings of a symposium held at the 183rd Meeting of the American Chemical Society at Las Vegas, Nevada, from 28 March to 2 April 1982* (pp. 279–290). American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C.
- Rosenthal, G. A. (1992). Purification and characterization of the higher plant enzyme L-canaline reductase. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 89, 1780–1784.
- Rubio, L. A., Pedrosa, M. M., Cuadrado, C., Gelencser, E., Clemente, A., Burbano, C. & Muzquiz, M. (2006). Recovery at the terminal ileum of some legume non-nutritional factors in cannulated pigs. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 86, 979–987.
- Rybina, E. A. & Reshetova, T. A. (1981). Digestibility of nutrients and biochemical values of eggs in relation to the amount of lucerne and grass meal and the quality of supplementary fat in the diet of laying hens. *Trudy Uzbekskogo Nauchno-Issledovatel'skogo Instituta Zhivotnovodstva*, 35, 148–152.
- Sadana, B., Hira, C. K., Singla, N. & Grewal, H. (2006). Nutritional evaluation of rice bean (*Vigna umbellata*) strains. *Journal of Food Science and Technology - Mysore*, 43, 516–518.
- Sadeghi, G. H., Pourreza, J., Samei, A. & Rahmani, H. (2009). Chemical composition and some anti-nutrient content of raw and processed bitter vetch (*Vicia ervilia*) seed for use as feeding stuff in poultry diet. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 41, 85–93.
- Saharan, K., Khetarpaul, N. & Bishnoi, S. (2002). Variability in physico-chemical properties and nutrient composition of newly released ricebean and fababean cultivars. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 15, 159–167.
- Sangronis, E. & Machado, C. J. (2007). Influence of germination on the nutritional quality of *Phaseolus vulgaris* and *Cajanus cajan*. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 40, 116–120.
- Sarmiento-Franco, L., Gorocica-Pino, E., Ramírez-Avilés, L., Castillo-Caamal, J., Santos-Ricalde, R. & Díaz, M. F. (2011). True metabolizable energy and digestibility of five *Vigna unguiculata* varieties in chickens. *Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems*, 14 (1), 179–183.
- Sarria, P., Montoya, C., Yusti, L. M., Orejuela, I., Guevara, M., Cruz, A. C., Arredondo, J., Londono, A. & Peters, M. (2010). Nutritive value of leaf meal of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L) Walp.) for growing pigs. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 22 (6).
- Sarria, P., Villavicencio, E. & Orejuela, L. E. (1991). Utilización de follaje de Nacadero (*Trichantera gigantea*) en la alimentación de cerdos de engorde. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 3 (2).
- Sarwatt, S. V., Mkiwa, F. E. J., Lwoga, A. B. & Dzewela, B. H. (1990). Nutritive value of *Crotalaria ochroleuca*: II the effect of supplementation on feed utilisation and performance of growing sheep. In B. H. Dzewela, A. N. Said, A. Wendem-Agenehu, & J. A. Kategile (Eds.), *Utilization of research results on forage and agricultural by-product materials as animal feed resources in Africa. Proceedings of the first joint workshop held in Lilongwe, Malawi, 5-9 December 1988* (pp. 330–344). PANESA/ARNAB, International Livestock Centre for Africa, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Sastry, M. S. & Rajendra, S. (2008). Toxic effects of subabul (*Leucaena leucocephala*) on the thyroid and reproduction of female goats. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 78, 251–253.
- Savon, L. (2005). Tropical roughages and their effect on the digestive physiology of monogastric species - Alimentos fibrosos y su efecto en la fisiología digestiva de especies monogástricas. *Cuban Journal of Agricultural Science - Revista Cubana de Ciencia Agrícola*, 39, 475–487.
- Schultze-Kraft, R. & Peters, M. (1997). Tropical legumes in agricultural production and resource management: An overview. Presented at the Tropentag JLU Giessen 22.-23.5.1997. Pp. 1–17.
- Shayo, C. M. & Uden, P. (1999). Nutritional uniformity of crude protein fractions in some tropical browse plants estimated by two in vitro methods. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 78, 141–151.
- Siddhuraju, P., Vijayakumari, K. & Janardhanan, K. (1996). Chemical composition and protein quality of the little-known legume, velvet bean (*Mucuna pruriens* (L) DC). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 44, 2636–2641.
- Sinha, R., Kawatra, A. & Sehgal, S. (2005). Saponin content and trypsin inhibitor activity of cowpea: varietal differences and effects of processing and cooking methods. *Journal of Food Science and Technology (Mysore)*, 42, 182–185.
- Sourabie, K. M., Kayouli, C. & Dalibard, C. (1995). Urea treatment of roughages: a highly promising technique in Niger. *World Animal Review*, 82, 3–13.
- Srinivasulu, C., Prabhu, M. R. L. & Devi, B. C. (2000). Influence of ensiling on mimosine content of subabul (*Leucaena leucocephala*). *JNKVV Research Journal*, 34, 67–69.

- Steendam, C. A., de Jong, E. J., Mattuzzi, S. & Visser, G. H. (1998). Comparison of three methods for the measurement of the endogenous N-flow at the terminal ileum of pigs, as affected by dietary quebracho extract. In A. J. M. Jansman, J. Huisman, & A. F. B. van der Poel (Eds.), *Recent advances of research in antinutritional factors in legume seeds and rapeseed. Proceedings of the third international workshop* (pp. 335–339). Wageningen.
- Stodolak, B. & Starzynska-Janiszewska, A. (2008). The influence of tempeh fermentation and conventional cooking on anti-nutrient level and protein bioavailability (in vitro test) of grass-pea seeds. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 88, 2265–2270.
- Sudarmadji, S. & Markakis, P. (1977). The phytate and phytase of soybean tempeh. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 28, 381–383.
- Sukkasame, P. & Phaikaew, C. (1998). Utilisation of *Desmanthus virgatus* as Protein Supplement for Fattening Cattle in Southern Thailand. In A. C. de la Viña, & F. A. Moog (Eds.), *Integrated Crop-Livestock Production Systems and Fodder Trees* (pp. 157–159). 6th Meeting of the Regional Working Group on Grazing and Feed Resources for Southeast Asia, Legaspi City, Philippines, FAO.
- Swiech, E., Buraczewska, L. & Taciak, M. (2004). The effect of trypsin inhibitor level in soy products on in vitro and in vivo (pigs and rats) protein and amino acid digestibility. In *EAAP Publication 110* (pp. 247–250). Wageningen Academic Publishers, Wageningen.
- Tawata, S., Hongo, F., Sunagawa, K., Kawashima, Y. & Yaga, S. (1986). A simple reduction method of mimosine in the tropical plant *Leucaena*. *Science Bulletin of the College of Agriculture, University of the Ryukyus, Okinawa*, 33, 87–93.
- Teguia, A., Njwe, R. M. & Foyette, C. N. (1997). Effects of replacement of maize with dried leaves of sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) and perennial peanuts (*Arachis glabrata* Benth) on the growth performance of finishing broilers. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 66, 283–287.
- Tewe, O. (1994). Indices of cassava safety for livestock feeding. *Acta Horticulturae (ISHS)*, 375, 241–250. International workshop on cassava safety, Ibadan, Nigeria, March 1-4, 1994.
- Thomas, V. G. (1987). Nutritional, morphological, and behavioural considerations for rearing birds for release. *Journal of Ornithology*, 128, 423–430.
- Trugo, L. C., Ramos, L. A., Trugo, N. M. F. & Souza, M. C. P. (1990). Oligosaccharide composition and trypsin inhibitor activity of *P. vulgaris* and the effect of germination on the alpha-galactoside composition and fermentation in the human colon. *Food Chemistry*, 36, 53–61.
- Ty, C., Borin, K. & Phiny, C. (2007). A note on the effect of fresh mulberry leaves, fresh sweet potato vine or a mixture of both foliages on intake, digestibility and N retention of growing pigs given a basal diet of broken rice. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 19 (9).
- Ty, C., Borin, K. & Preston, T. R. (2011). Effect of processing cassava leaves and supplementing them with DL-methionine, on intake, growth and feed conversion in crossbred growing pigs. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 23 (4). Article # 91.
- Udedibie, A. B. I., Anyaegbu, B. C., Onyechekwa, G. C. & Egbuokporo, O. C. (2004). Effect of feeding different levels of fermented and unfermented cassava tuber meals on performance of broilers. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*, 31, 211–219.
- Ukachukwu, S. N., Ezeagu, I. E., Tarawali, G. & Ikeorgu, J. E. G. (2002). Utilization of *Mucuna* As Food and Feed in West Africa. *Mucuna Workshop held in April 26-29, 2000, in Tegucigalpa, Honduras CIDICCO*.
- Urooj, A. & Puttaraj, S. (1994). Effect of Processing on Starch Digestibility in Some Legumes - An In-Vitro Study. *Nahrung-Food*, 38 (1), 38–46.
- Urriola, P. E. & Stein, H. H. (2012). Comparative digestibility of energy and nutrients in fibrous feed ingredients fed to Meishan and Yorkshire pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*, 90, 802–812.
- Vadivel, V. & Janardhanan, K. (2005). Nutritional and antinutritional characteristics of seven South Indian wild legumes. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, 60, 69–75.
- Vadivel, V. & Pugalenti, M. (2008). Effect of various processing methods on the levels of antinutritional constituents and protein digestibility of *Mucuna pruriens* (L.) DC. var. utilis (Wall. ex Wight) Baker ex Burck (velvet bean) seeds. *Journal of Food Biochemistry*, 32, 795–812.
- Vadivel, V., Pugalenti, M., Doss, A. & Parimelazhagan, T. (2011). Evaluation of velvet bean meal as an alternative protein ingredient for poultry feed. *Animal*, 5, 67–73.
- Valizadeh, R., Naserian, A. A. & Vahmani, P. (2009). Influence of drying and ensiling pistachio by-products with urea and molasses on their chemical composition, tannin content and rumen degradability parameters. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 8, 2363–2368.
- Varel, V. H. & Yen, J. T. (1997). Microbial perspective on fiber utilization by swine. *Journal of Animal Science*, 75, 2715–2722.

- Varley, P. F., Flynn, B., Callan, J. J. & O'Doherty, J. V. (2011). Effect of phytase level in a low phosphorus diet on performance and bone development in weaner pigs and the subsequent effect on finisher pig bone development. *Livestock Science*, 138, 152–158.
- Veldman, A., Enting, H. & Smulders, A. C. J. M. (1998). (Anti) nutritional effect of α -galactosides? In *Recent advances of research in antinutritional factors in legume seeds and rapeseed. Proceedings of the third international workshop* (pp. 307–334). Wageningen.
- Vijayakumari, K., Siddhuraju, P. & Janardhanan, K. (1996a). Effect of different post-harvest treatments on antinutritional factors in seeds of the tribal pulse, *Mucuna pruriens* (L) DC. *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*, 47, 263–272.
- Vijayakumari, K., Siddhuraju, P. & Janardhanan, K. (1996b). Effect of soaking, cooking and autoclaving on phytic acid and oligosaccharide contents of the tribal pulse, *Mucuna monosperma* DC ex Wight. *Food Chemistry*, 55, 173–177.
- Villarreal, M., Cochran, R. C., Villalobos, L., Roja-Bourrillon, A., Rodriguez, R. & Wickersham, T. A. (2005). Dry-matter yields and crude protein and rumen-degradable protein concentrations of three *Arachis pintoi* ecotypes at different stages of regrowth in the humid tropics. *Grass and Forage Science*, 60, 237–243.
- Viroben, G. & Michelangeli-Vargas, C. (1997). Determination of canavanine in raw and processed jack-bean seeds. *Sciences des Aliments*, 17, 299–307.
- Vogt, G. (1990). Pathology of midgut gland-cells of *Penaeus monodon* postlarvae after *Leucaena leucocephala* feeding. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 9, 45–61.
- Wang, T. C. & Fuller, M. F. (1989). The optimum dietary amino acid pattern for growing pigs. 1. Experiments by amino acid deletion. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 62, 77–89.
- Wei, X., Xia, D., Chen, J. & Lu, T. (2004). Effects of feeding soya isoflavone on the reproductive performance of sows and growth of piglets. *Jiangsu Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 20, 51–54.
- Weiss, C. (2009). Oxalic acid. *Ernährungs Umschau*, 56, 636–639.
- Whiteman, P. C. & Norton, B. W. (1981). Alternative uses for pigeonpea. In *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Pigeonpeas, Patancheru, India, 15-19 December 1980, Volume 1* (pp. 365–377). ICRISAT.
- Winter, P., Nau, H., Lampen, A. & Kamphues, J. (2008). Detection of estrogenically active substances in diets for sows by an in vitro bioassay supported by HPLC analysis. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 92, 337–344.
- Xiang, X., Yang, L., Hua, S., Li, W., Sun, Y., Ma, H., Zhang, J. & Zeng, X. (2008). Determination of the contents of D-galactooligosaccharides and sucrose in different chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) seeds. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica*, 41, 2762–2768.
- Yamazaki, M., Lopez, P. L. & Kaku, K. (1988). The bioavailability of nutrients in some Philippine feed-stuffs to poultry. *Japan Agricultural Research Quarterly*, 22, 229–234.
- Yang, Y. X., Kim, Y. G., Heo, S., Ohh, S. J. & Chae, B. J. (2007). Effects of processing method on performance and nutrient digestibility in growing-finishing pigs fed lupine seeds. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 20, 1229–1235.
- Yasmin, A., Zeb, A., Khalil, A. W., Paracha, G. M. & Khattak, A. B. (2008). Effect of processing on anti-nutritional factors of red kidney bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) grains. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, 1, 415–419.
- Yule, M. A. & Fuller, M. F. (1992). The utilization of orally administered D-xylose, L-arabinose and D-galacturonic acid in the pig. *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*, 43, 31–40.
- van Zuilichem, D. J., Stolp, W., Wolters, I. & van der Poel, A. F. B. (1998). Expander processing of full-fat soya beans, a product development tool. In A. J. M. Jansman, G. D. Hill, J. Huisman, & A. van der Poel (Eds.), *Recent advances of research antinutritional factors in legume seeds and rapeseed. Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Antinutritional Factors in Legume Seeds and Rapeseed, Wageningen, The Netherlands, 8-10 July 1998* (pp. 453–456). EAAP Publication 93, Wageningen Pers. Wageningen.